

The hidden toll of longer evenings: BC's experiment with transitioning to permanent daylight saving time

British Columbia transitioned to permanent daylight saving time (DST) as of Sunday, 8 March 2026. This move has received some criticism, with one researcher calling it “scientifically not a good idea.”¹ Similarly, OHS Canada indicated that “in its switch to permanent daylight time, BC got it wrong.”²

The switch in BC was partly informed by a 2019 provincial survey in which over 90% of approximately 223 000 respondents supported adopting permanent DST.³ However, permanent standard time (ST) was not offered as an option—respondents could choose between permanent DST or

continuing with biannual clock changes. In its rationale, the Government of BC mentioned anticipated benefits including improved overall health; fewer disruptions to sleep patterns, daily routines, and schedules; and longer daylight in the evenings to support leisure and economic activity.³ In a 2019 letter to then premier John Horgan, the BC Chamber of Commerce suggested that ending biannual changes would also be associated with reductions in workplace and traffic accidents.⁴


The Government of BC website links to a study from the Stanford University School of Medicine supporting its rationale to move to permanent DST.³ The authors of the study suggest that biannual shifting results in the greatest burden on circadian rhythms, when compared with either permanent ST or permanent DST.⁵ As indicated by Dr Schwandt in his May *BCMJ* editorial,⁶ other studies have also shown an association between the transition to DST and a modest increase in acute myocardial infarction,⁷ stroke,⁸ poor sleep and mood disturbances,⁹ and traffic accidents.¹⁰

The choice of which permanent time to adopt, DST or ST, is not to be taken lightly. For instance, the Stanford University study revealed that, when comparing the two options, permanent ST was more likely to result in a decrease in the prevalence of obesity (−0.78% [−0.06% to −1.49%]) and stroke (−0.09% [−0.04% to −0.14%]) compared with DST (−0.51% [−0.09% to −0.93%] and −0.07% [−0.04% to −0.09%], respectively).⁵ While direct evidence on the long-term effects of permanent DST remains limited, there is growing recognition that misalignment between social and biological clocks, so-called social jet lag, can persist for several months beyond the initial transition period

to DST.¹¹ Permanent DST effectively delays morning light exposure, particularly during winter months, when the sun may rise as late as 9 a.m. in cities such as Vancouver and Victoria. Alternatively, permanent ST allows for better alignment of our internal circadian clock with the social clock, reducing the risk of social jet lag.¹² Social jet lag has been associated with increased risk of elevated BMI, metabolic syndrome,^{13,14} and mood deficits.¹⁵ Professors in the UBC School of Nursing have published on this topic, indicating that “BC’s switch to permanent DST adds to the ‘perfect storm’ for poorer adolescent sleep and mental health.”¹⁶ Multiple professional bodies, including the Canadian Sleep Society, American Academy of Sleep Medicine, European Biological Rhythms Society, European Sleep Research Society, and Society for Research on Biological Rhythms, support permanent ST as the best option in terms of public health and safety.¹⁷⁻¹⁹


However, potential benefits of permanent DST should not be dismissed. Extended evening daylight may promote physical activity, social engagement, and economic activity, particularly in areas such as tourism and retail. However, anticipated energy savings remain uncertain and may be offset by increased consumption related to extended evening activities.

For physicians and surgeons, many of whom start work early in the day, permanent DST can contribute to misalignment with the circadian clock. Delayed morning light exposure, combined with early start times and demanding schedules, may result in further sleep deprivation, fatigue, and impaired cognitive performance. At the same time, longer, brighter evenings may encourage later bedtimes, further contributing to sleep deficits.







since 1997


SECURE • COMPLIANT • TRUSTED





CLOSING or TRANSITIONING YOUR MEDICAL PRACTICE?

We ensure the transition is simple,
seamless, secure and... compliant.

-  PRACTICE CLOSURE
-  NOTIFICATION
-  COMPLIANT STORAGE
-  RECORD TRANSFERS
-  PATIENT PLACEMENT



Protect Your Patients. Protect Your Legacy.


Safe & Secure Fast & Searchable Across Canada

1.888.563.3732 | www.RecordSolutions.ca

On a personal note, I find permanent DST appealing in some ways. It allows the opportunity for many people, including physicians, to spend evening time outdoors in daylight and engage in social and recreational activities after work, which, in my opinion, contributes significantly to quality of life. As stated by Dr Schwandt in his editorial, these benefits, while difficult to quantify, are not trivial. Indeed, individual preferences, lifestyles, and chronotypes may influence how such a policy is experienced by everyone.

Ultimately, the decision to eliminate biannual clock changes appears reasonably supported from a public health and safety perspective. Whether BC selected the optimal approach, however, is to be determined as we embark on this natural experiment. ■

—Sepehr Khorasani, MD, MSc, FRCSC

References

1. Carman T. 'Scientifically not a good idea,' says researcher whose work informed B.C.'s daylight-time decision. CBC News. 5 March 2026. Accessed 13 April 2026. www.cbc.ca/news/canada/bc-daylight-saving-health-concerns-9.7114947.
2. Harnett M. In its switch to permanent daylight time, B.C. got it wrong. OHS Canada. 6 March 2026. Accessed 13 April 2026. www.ohscanada.com/opinions/in-its-switch-to-permanent-daylight-time-b-c-got-it-wrong/.
3. Government of British Columbia. Permanent daylight saving time. Updated 23 March 2026. Accessed 13 April 2026. www2.gov.bc.ca/gov/content/governments/celebrating-british-columbia/daylight-saving-time.
4. Litwin V. Submission on time observance and maintaining daylight saving time (DST) year-round. Letter to the Honourable John Horgan from the BC Chamber of Commerce. 19 July 2019. Accessed 7 April 2026. <https://engage.gov.bc.ca/app/uploads/sites/121/2020/03/BC-Chamber-of-Commerce.pdf>.
5. Weed L, Zeitzer JM. Circadian-informed modeling predicts regional variation in obesity and stroke outcomes under different permanent US time policies. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2025;122:e2508293122. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.2508293122>.
6. Schwandt M. Shining light on permanent daylight saving time and health. *BCMj* 2026;69:122,124.
7. Manfredini R, Fabbian F, De Giorgi A, et al. Daylight saving time and myocardial infarction: Should we be worried? A review of the evidence. *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci* 2018;22:750-755. https://doi.org/10.26355/eurrev_201802_14306.

References continued on page 159

Feelings are tricky in medicine

Sharing an opinion is harder than it may seem.

I tend to shy away from sharing my opinion unless I have data to back it up. After all, scientific data is the cornerstone of truth and integrity in medicine. But data, among academics, can also be a defence mechanism. For example, it's usually less threatening when someone counters an argument with data than when someone questions your opinion.

Sharing an opinion exposes what we value, and it reveals our vulnerabilities. When someone questions our opinion, it can feel less like an academic debate and more like a personal attack. Data can be debated; feelings can be judged.

But here's my opinion: feelings are tricky in medicine, and I don't think we talk about them enough.

Of course, we inquire about our *patients'* feelings. We validate and document their emotions, and we empathize, grieve, and rejoice alongside them. We keep their confidence. In many cases, we can't help it—we absorb, reflect, and ruminate over these difficult interactions, and then we repeat the cycle.

But how often do we ask *each other* about our feelings?

In my position as editor-in-chief of the *BCMj*, I have the privilege of hearing physicians' voices from across the province, and I believe our profession is hurting. The heavy mental, physical, and emotional load required to do our jobs is taking a toll. Physicians often write to the *BCMj* about burnout in medicine. But what do we really mean by *burnout*?

Burnout has become an accepted placeholder word, one that conceals a spectrum of quieter, harder-to-name emotions. Burnout is less personal and implies that a systems issue, rather than an emotional one, is the root cause of one's failure to thrive at work. Underneath may lie guilt,

shame, sadness, anger, fear, numbness, loneliness, or despair. Some of these feelings may be related to our careers—to the moral distress of working in a system that cannot meet our patients' needs or to the grief that accompanies inevitable poor outcomes in health care. But many feelings are deeply personal and unrelated to medicine. Physicians are human, after all. How can we normalize acknowledging that humanity?

Perhaps we keep silent because we don't want to burden each other, or we fear being penalized if getting the help we need interferes with our patients' needs, our complex schedules, or regulatory expectations. Perhaps burnout also conceals a slow erosion of connection to purpose and meaning.

Medicine has long rewarded stoicism. We value resilience, objectivity, and data. We are trained to recognize pathology... but not every feeling needs to be pathologized. Feeling is not at odds with being a good physician; it's often the reason we are here.

When I review authors' clinical submissions, one of the most common things I ask them to include is a list of resources that might help BC physicians with the subject matter. I wish I had a simple list of resources that would meaningfully address *this* problem. Of course, there's the Physician Health Program, and I hope you will write in and tell me about other resources. But what I believe would help the *most* is a broader, more open conversation around physician mental health—one that goes beyond burnout.

If you would like to share your feelings, experiences, or perspectives on mental health, please write to us at the *BCMj*. We are listening. ■

—Caitlin Dunne, MD, FRCSC

5. Government of Canada. Canada Disability Benefit. Modified 23 April 2026. Accessed 29 April 2026. www.canada.ca/en/services/benefits/disability/canada-disability-benefit.html.
6. John Howard Society of Canada. New data on crime, prisons, parole. 14 November 2023. Accessed 29 April 2026. <https://johnhoward.ca/blog/new-data-on-crime-prisons-parole/>.

Re: Commemorating 2 centuries since the death of the inventor of the stethoscope

Thank you, Dr Amir Dolatabadi, for an interesting article about the inventor of the stethoscope, René-Théophile-Hyacinthe Laennec [*BCMJ* 2026;68:93-95,109].

In the article, Dr Dolatabadi noted that Laennec was inspired to invent the stethoscope after observing children playing in the Louvre; one child struck one end of a long wooden stick while another placed their ear at the opposite end, successfully perceiving the transmitted sound. In his *Illustrated History of Medicine*, Jean-Charles Sournia gave further information about the discovery of transmitted sound perception. He stated that Laennec observed a group of children whispering along pipes on a building site in the Tuileries Garden, next to the Louvre.

Evidently, the young people of Paris should be doubly credited for the medical potential of child's play, perceived by

Laennec on his strolls around the city.

It is sad, but unsurprising, that Laennec died an early death from tuberculosis, given that he spent his professional years using his invention to auscultate the chests of patients with respiratory symptoms, many of whom would have been suffering from consumption. His device could be regarded as responsible for his demise.

—Anthony Walter, MB BCH
Coldstream

Thanks to Dr Amir Dolatabadi for the article “Commemorating 2 centuries since the death of the inventor of the stethoscope” [*BCMJ* 2026;68:93-95,109]. It was an interesting and informative description of René-Théophile-Hyacinthe Laennec's life and times.

Over my long career as a physician, there have been changes in stethoscope technology and use. First, I was taught that, ideally, a stethoscope should be placed directly on the skin for the most accurate assessment of heart and lung sounds. While listening through thin clothing is possible—especially if the examiner applies firm pressure to negate sound attenuation—it can introduce artifacts and hide subtle, crucial sounds, reducing diagnostic quality. However, it appears that standard practice is now to

place a stethoscope over one or sometimes two layers of clothing and to pronounce with confidence: “Your chest is clear.” Single or double layers of light clothing can attenuate sound by 5 to 18 decibels.

Second, in 2000, Dr David Littmann introduced the electronic stethoscope. Electronic stethoscopes are generally considered better for detecting subtle sounds like soft murmurs due to superior amplification and active noise cancellation, especially in loud environments. They offer higher diagnostic accuracy and are advantageous for clinicians with hearing loss, for telehealth, and when assessing obese patients. As an emergency physician, I found an electronic stethoscope very useful in my often noisy surroundings.

I have two questions: (1) Why are doctors not applying stethoscopes to bare skin to maximize diagnostic accuracy? (2) Why are doctors not using electronic stethoscopes for better auditory performance, when so many of them are aging and their personal acoustic apparatus is failing?

Better use of the simple tools of clinical examination might reduce the spiraling costs of unnecessary imaging, speed up patient throughput, and reduce health care costs.

—Murray Trusler, MD, MBA, FCFP, FRRMS
Peachland

References continued from page 157

8. Sipilä JOT, Ruuskanen JO, Rautava P, Kytö V. Changes in ischemic stroke occurrence following daylight saving time transitions. *Sleep Med* 2016;27-28:20-24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sleep.2016.10.009>.
9. Berk M, Dodd S, Hallam K, et al. Small shifts in diurnal rhythms are associated with an increase in suicide: The effect of daylight saving. *Sleep Biol Rhythms* 2008;6:22-25. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1479-8425.2007.00331.x>.
10. Robb D, Barnes T. Accident rates and the impact of daylight saving time transitions. *Accid Anal Prev* 2018;111:193-201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aap.2017.11.029>.
11. Hadlow NC, Brown S, Wardrop R, Henley D. The effects of season, daylight saving and time of sunrise on serum cortisol in a large population. *Chronobiol Int* 2014;31:243-251. <https://doi.org/10.3109/07420528.2013.844162>.
12. Antle MC. The controversy over daylight saving time: Evidence for and against. *Curr Opin Pulm Med* 2023;29:574-579. <https://doi.org/10.1097/MCP.0000000000001003>.
13. Roenneberg T, Allebrandt KV, Merrow M, Vetter C. Social jetlag and obesity. *Curr Biol* 2012;22:939-943. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2012.03.038>.
14. Koopman ADM, Rauh SP, van 't Riet E, et al. The association between social jetlag, the metabolic syndrome, and type 2 diabetes mellitus in the general population: The New Hoorn Study. *J Biol Rhythms* 2017;32:359-368. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0748730417713572>.
15. Short MA, Booth SA, Omar O, et al. The relationship between sleep duration and mood in adolescents: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Sleep Med Rev* 2020;52:101311. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smrv.2020.101311>.
16. Keys E, Hall W. BC's switch to permanent DST adds to the 'perfect storm' for poorer adolescent sleep and mental health. *The Conversation*. Updated 12 March 2026. Accessed 13 April 2026. <https://theconversation.com/b-c-s-switch-to-permanent-dst-adds-to-the-perfect-storm-for-poorer-adolescent-sleep-and-mental-health-277587>.
17. Rishi MA, Ahmed O, Barrantes Perez JH, et al. Daylight saving time: An American Academy of Sleep Medicine position statement. 2020;16:1781-1784. <https://doi.org/10.5664/jcsm.8780>.
18. European Biological Rhythms Society, European Sleep Research Society, Society for Research on Biological Rhythms. To the EU Commission on DST. Letter to the EU Commission. Accessed 13 April 2026. https://esrs.eu/wp-content/uploads/2019/03/To_the_EU_Commission_on_DST.pdf.
19. Canadian Sleep Society. Position statement of the Canadian Sleep Society on the practice of daylight saving time (DST). Accessed 13 April 2026. <https://css-scs.ca/society-news/position-statement-of-the-canadian-sleep-society-on-the-practice-of-daylight-saving-time-dst/>.

EDITORIALS