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History repeating
28 February 2021

As the world moves toward mass vaccination against SARS-CoV-2 in 2021, many unanswered questions remain. Will vaccine administration be mandatory? Will proof of vaccination be required to attend gatherings such as sporting or entertainment events? Will the unvaccinated be allowed to travel? Will life insurance be more costly if an individual refuses the COVID-19 vaccine? And so many more.

In my previous editorial I talked about my postvaccination DNA being altered and making friends with my injected microchip. This tongue-in-cheek discussion of some of the conspiracy theories regarding vaccination against the coronavirus downplays the real fear some individuals have about being immunized. Many think the vaccine was rushed into production and is not safe. Significant numbers of vaccine refusals are likely as people worry about potential adverse health outcomes. Conspiracy theorists have had a field day, and some are staunchly against vaccination as they falsely claim the vaccine was made from aborted fetal tissue. I have even heard of some groups fearing the vaccine will mark you with the sign of the beast (devil), which is based on a biblical chapter in Revelation. These fears, which seem ridiculous to most, are very real to some.

One night, while thinking about all of this, I was unconsciously rubbing my left deltoid when my fingers rubbed against my smallpox scar. Until 1970, babies were routinely immunized against smallpox, leaving us oldies with a mark either on the outside or inside of our upper arms. I wonder if some lessons about mass vaccination might be found in the history of this other terrible virus.

It is estimated that roughly 300 million people died from smallpox in the 20th century. Its case fatality rate was estimated at around 30%. Contrast that to the COVID-19 mortality rate of around 2% with a current death toll of 2.5 million.

In 1796, British physician Edward Jenner noted that milkmaids who had contracted the milder bovine variant (cowpox) did not become ill with smallpox. He grabbed his gardener’s 8-year-old son and scratched his upper arm with the contents of a cowpox blister from a milkmaid. A few months later he repeatedly scratched the boy’s arm with contents from smallpox blisters and the boy never contracted the disease. As an aside, I do not think this study would receive ethics approval in 2021. Regardless, this groundbreaking work (which was initially rejected by the Royal Society of Physicians) led to the development of a vaccine.

Opposition to smallpox vaccination existed almost as soon as the vaccine was developed.

In Leicester, England, three individuals were jailed for refusing to be vaccinated and this same town had a demonstration march in 1885 with up to 100,000 individuals protesting mandatory vaccination.

Regardless, as the 20th century progressed, a general acceptance of vaccination against smallpox took hold. In North America, the smallpox immunization program was stopped around 1970 as the final recorded case on the continent occurred in 1949. The last known naturally acquired case of smallpox on the planet occurred in 1977 in Somalia. The last death from smallpox occurred in 1978, when a medical photographer working above a research lab in England contracted the disease. Currently, smallpox can be found only in the CDC lab in Atlanta and the State Research Lab in Russia.

I would like to believe that we have learned our historical lesson and that COVID-19 vaccination will go smoothly with general acceptance and wide public uptake, but sadly, history does tend to repeat itself. On that point, let us remember what happened to smallpox—it was eradicated largely due to widespread distribution and uptake of the vaccine. Is it too early to dream of the same outcome for SARS-CoV-2?

—David R. Richardson, MD
The gender pay gap in medicine

Gender pay gaps continue to exist in a multitude of professions, and medicine is not immune, as highlighted by a recent *CMAJ* article by Drs Cohen and Kiran.1 As a female physician and a new mother, I am particularly interested and intrigued in this topic. How can I explain to women who enter medicine in the future that they may be paid less despite doing the same work as men, solely because of their gender? The complexity of this issue and the solutions to it cannot be thoroughly discussed in a few short paragraphs, but I hope to encourage increased awareness and conversations on this topic.

More women are entering medicine than ever before. The entering class of UBC Medicine in 2016 was 53.8% women.2 Despite this, implicit gender-based biases still existed throughout my medical school and residency training. I can’t count the number of times I have been mistaken for a nurse while the male colleague is assumed to be the doctor. Or how many times I have heard offhand comments about a female colleague who had to miss a day of work to take care of a sick child. Achieving a work-life balance is difficult, so it is not difficult to understand why female physicians may be drawn to certain specialties. Ultimately, we are all free to choose which specialty we pursue, but the gender pay gap is not explained only by the fact that female physicians may be drawn to lower-paying specialties. The pay gap exists in higher-paying specialties as well.3

Neither can the gender pay gap be explained by the fact that women work fewer hours than men. This has been backed by studies where, despite adjusting for confounders such as the number of hours worked, age, or years in practice, male physicians still consistently earned more than female physicians.4 A 2017 study in BC showed that female GPs made 36% less than male GPs, even though they worked only 3.2 fewer hours per week compared to male GPs.5 Others have attributed the pay gap issue to female physicians spending more time with patients in general; therefore, in a fee-for-service model, they may be paid less than their male colleagues overall. But the pay gap exists in other payment models as well, such as in the UK where physicians are salaried.6

Current parental leave policies also make it difficult for women taking maternity leave to keep up with office expenses or career advancements, again contributing to the gender pay gap. Women may also spend more time caring for their children or doing household chores, leading to less time for clinical duties. Programs should be put in place to encourage male physicians to take paternity leave as well; the Doctors of BC Parental Leave Program is open to both male and female physicians, but more can still be done.

Solutions to close the gender pay gap are complex. It will require change at many levels. There are several suggestions by Drs Cohen and Kiran, at both the individual and system level, such as advocating for pay transparency, improving parental leave programs, and encouraging women to take on leadership roles in medicine. I have had many mentors who have shown me that there is nothing too great to achieve, but it starts with listening and perseverance. As more doors open for women in medicine, we should all strive for true equality.

Ultimately, the question we should each be asking ourselves is not whether a gender pay gap exists in medicine, but what can I do to help close it? ■

—Yvonne Sin, MD
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An updated look at the 16-week window between doses of vaccines in BC for COVID-19

In accordance with new recommendations from the National Advisory Committee on Immunization, British Columbia has extended the interval between first and second doses up to 16 weeks for all currently approved COVID-19 vaccines in Canada. In light of this change—developed to maximize the number of individuals receiving their first doses of the COVID-19 vaccine—we have updated our review of the literature.

Real-world data have emerged from jurisdictions that extended their gap between the first and second doses. The United Kingdom approached vaccination with a planned 12-week dosing gap. In a UK preprint report (not yet peer reviewed), 60% to 70% protection was achieved in adults over the age of 70 after only one dose of either the Pfizer-BioNTech or Oxford-AstraZeneca vaccine. This protection was sustained up to the maximum follow-up period of 56 and 41 days respectively, albeit with limited numbers at the longer durations. Protection against symptomatic disease was further increased to 85% to 90% following the second dose of Pfizer-BioNTech vaccine. Among those who were symptomatic, the risk of hospitalization and death was reduced by 44% and 51% respectively, after a single dose of Pfizer-BioNTech, compared to an unvaccinated group. These data are encouraging when you consider that the UK variant (VOC 202012/01) was dominant during the study period.

There are also multiple reports confirming what was seen in clinical trials: protection begins around 2 weeks after dose 1 and is sustained thereafter for the duration studied. Encouragingly, asymptomatic disease and viral loads also appear to be reduced after the first vaccination dose. However, given that less than 4 months have passed since the vaccine was approved in any jurisdiction, long-term data are not yet available. While there is biologic plausibility to surmise these novel vaccines might provide months of protection like other protein-antigen based vaccines (e.g., HPV), preprint data from Scotland show higher vaccine efficacy at day 28 to 34 compared to day 35 to 42 following a single dose of Pfizer-BioNTech or Oxford-AstraZeneca.

The significance of this, or whether there is a further decline in immunity beyond day 42, is not yet known.

A single vaccine dose clearly reduces COVID-19 infection, hospitalization, and death.

Correction to quote attribution
I read the BCMJD2B article, “Using the beneficence model as an ethical approach to surgical decision making: A case report,” in the December issue of the BCMJ [2020:62;380-383,385]. Very timely and useful indeed, but I would like to point out that the dictum “first, do no harm,” belongs to Hippocrates, not to Aristotle, as stated in the article.
—Miguel Lipka, MD, CCFP(EM)

The source of this famous dictum isn’t at all clear. A remarkable amount of scholarship exists but none of it is yet conclusive. —Ed

The lost art of physical examination
As we rush enthusiastically into the new age of virtual medicine, I am wondering what we are losing. I hear stories of patients receiving a telephoned prescription for penicillin, for a sore throat, unseen and unswabbed. Or for something that “sounds like” bronchitis. Another patient with right upper quadrant discomfort was treated with liver function tests and an ultrasound? How about mammography plus or minus ultrasound?

I know I’m a dinosaur—a throwback to past generations of family medicine—but I foresee perils. It’s not enough for the MOA at the end of the line to ask, “Do think you need an appointment?” Neither the patient nor the MOA should be held responsible to answer that question. I can only hope that most GP offices are finding better ways of dealing with this issue.
—Lorne Walton, MD
Maple Ridge


As BC’s Immunization Plan rolls-out across the province, your patients are likely to turn to you as a trusted source of information around COVID-19 vaccines.

To help you in your conversations with patients, we’ve developed a vaccine information toolkit that includes posters, patient handouts and links to more resources.

Visit doctorsofbc.ca/toolkit.
On the nature of being a professional

Over the years, I've been given a lot of advice about what it means to look and act like a professional. From what I can gather, the ideal doctor is a stoic, dispassionate professional, dressed in business attire (or scrubs or a white lab coat), who demonstrates no particular social or cultural inclination. In a word, a professional doctor is neutral. There seems to be a list of dos and don'ts to get us here; however, the don'ts stand out most in my mind:

• Don't dress too casually.
• Don't let patients call you by your first name.
• Don't show too much emotion.
• Don't reveal anything about yourself.
• Don't be too funny, or too irreverent, or too opinionated.

But what I discovered is that the idea of neutral isn't so neutral at all. Rather, this ideal seems to be a very specific mode of dress, behaviors, and beliefs that may work for some but not all. If you're in doubt, ask an Indigenous person, an LGBTQ2S+ person, a person of color, a person who has lost their job during the pandemic, a person who is suffering from a substance use disorder, a person who has a hidden trauma that they need to share, or any number of other neighbors, friends, and colleagues.

In my clinical work with children, adolescents, and families (I see and talk to adults as much as I do kids!), I’ve challenged this neutral image in a number of ways:

• I show up to clinic in loud T-shirts and jeans.
• My patients call me by my first name all the time.
• I am visibly moved when someone tells me about something unjust that has happened to them.
• I openly share my personal history, my own experience of illness, and my hopes and fears. Worst of all, I tell jokes (even dad jokes), do unexpected things at surprising moments, and share my strong opinions about a lot of issues.

What’s important is to be authentic, to be proud of your identity, and to demonstrate your humanity.

So, what have I found?

I am often one of the first people that an LGBTQ2S+ person comes out to. I am far too often the first person who learns that a teenager has been date-raped. People break down and tell me about old traumas. People tell me their stories of the racism, sexism, homophobia, and overall bigotry and injustice they experience every day. I know I am making a difference because my MOA swears that some of the angriest and most belligerent patients walk out of my office smiling.

Now, I don’t follow this approach for all situations. What works in my clinical setting won’t work in all settings, just as what works for one patient doesn’t work for another. I also certainly take great care when considering how I come across in my role as president of Doctors of BC.

However, my point is that we all have different contexts within which we should examine what it means to be professional. There isn’t a single meaning that fits every doctor—what’s important is to be authentic, to be proud of your identity, and to demonstrate your humanity, because in doing so we demonstrate to our patients that it’s okay for them to do the same.

I began with a list of don’ts, and I will end with a list of dos:

• Do be willing to learn.
• Do be willing to ask people what they prefer from you, which may not be what you were taught.
• Do honor your culture, your history, and your customs, and do the same for your patients.
• Do show care for your patients, or indeed any other human being, in whatever way is most natural for you.
• Most importantly, do be human.

—Matthew C. Chow, MD
Doctors of BC President
Management of vulnerable adult patients seeking to leave hospital: Understanding and using relevant legislation

A clinical case of a patient suffering from medical illness, mental disorder, and self-neglect highlights which legislation doctors should follow when balancing the need to preserve patient autonomy and protect vulnerable patients by keeping them in hospital.

Dr Laidlaw is a clinical assistant professor in the Faculty of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, University of British Columbia and a consultation liaison psychiatrist at BC Cancer. Ms Lange is the Fraser Health clinical specialist for adult abuse and neglect. Ms Henthorne is the clinical practice leader for social work at Surrey Memorial Hospital.

Jennifer Laidlaw, MD, FRCPC, Leanne Lange, MPA, Erin Henthorne, MSW, RSW

This article has been peer reviewed.

ABSTRACT: British Columbia has three pieces of legislation that are relevant to the protection and treatment of vulnerable adults who require hospitalization but decline to stay voluntarily: the Health Care (Consent) and Care Facility (Admission) Act (HCCFCAA), Mental Health Act (MHA), and Adult Guardianship Act (AGA). Patients may require hospitalization for multiple reasons, in which case more than one piece of legislation may be used simultaneously. However, physicians are often uncertain about when and how to exercise the appropriate legislation, what the legislation actually permits, and what documentation is required. To our knowledge, there currently are no publications regarding how these three acts intersect as related to the hospitalization of vulnerable individuals. Compounding this problem are gaps in the legislation that can predispose health care providers to inappropriately use the Mental Health Act, which is being increasingly scrutinized following a report by the BC Office of the Ombudsperson.

The HCCFCAA is applicable when hospitalization is required for treatment of medical illness. Adult patients who are capable of making a decision about receiving health care can either consent to or refuse treatment. Management of patients who are incapable of making a decision regarding their medical treatment may be treated in an emergency, including ongoing hospitalization, if a substitute decision-maker is not available to provide consent. In nonemergency settings, consent from a temporary substitute decision-maker must be obtained.

The MHA applies to cases where a person with mental illness would pose significant risks if their mental health disorders were left untreated. The MHA authorizes involuntary psychiatric treatment only. Currently, certified patients, even those who are capable of making their own treatment decisions, cannot refuse psychiatric treatment proposed by the treating physician.

The AGA applies to patients 19 years or older who appear to be experiencing abuse, neglect, or self-neglect and are suspected of not being able to seek support and assistance. The AGA allows for involuntary short-term hospitalization while an investigation and safety planning are being conducted. If risk of abuse, neglect, or self-neglect as well as the inability to seek support and assistance is proven, a support and assistance plan can be put in place following discharge from hospital to help mitigate risk.

In the general hospital setting, patients often wish to leave hospital before doctors and other health care providers feel it is safe to discharge them. There can be multiple reasons for this, such as the patient requiring ongoing treatment of a medical illness or psychiatric treatment of a mental disorder, or they are at risk of abuse, neglect, or self-neglect due to their social circumstances. Balancing the often competing interests of protecting vulnerable patients and preserving patient autonomy can leave physicians unsure of the most appropriate course of action. To identify which piece of legislation is most relevant, it can be helpful to start with the question: Why does the patient require ongoing hospitalization [see the Figure]? Patients may require hospitalization for multiple reasons, in which case more than one piece of legislation may be used simultaneously.

A clinical case
Ms Safe* is a 55-year-old single female who lives alone and is a T6 paraplegic from a motor vehicle accident. She has chronic ischial wounds.

---

*Ms Safe is a fictional composite patient.
that have necessitated previous hospital admissions for 6 weeks of IV antibiotic treatment. She now requires daily wound care from home health nursing staff and regular offloading to avoid a recurrence of osteomyelitis. However, she is often not compliant with offloading, and she removes the wound dressings.

Ms Safe is brought to hospital by ambulance after her wound care nurse calls 911, saying that “the wound is down to the bone.” The ambulance report indicates that Ms Safe has refused to come to hospital for several weeks. An infectious disease specialist confirms she requires another 6 weeks of IV antibiotics for treatment of osteomyelitis. Ms Safe tells the hospitalist she wants to leave hospital, so the hospitalist asks a psychiatrist for a second opinion regarding her capacity.

Both the treating hospitalist and consulting psychiatrist find Ms Safe incapable of consenting to ongoing hospitalization and IV antibiotics because she says she will “be fine” without further medical treatment. Ms Safe is held in hospital and treated for her medical illness under the Health Care (Consent) and Care Facility (Admission) Act (HCCFCAA), given her incapacity, emergent nature of needing treatment, and lack of available temporary substitute decision-maker. Eventually, temporary substitute decision-maker consent is obtained from the Public Guardian and Trustee.

Several days later, Ms Safe complains that nurses are putting a chip under her skin that is being used to track her, which is why she removes her dressings. A chart review reveals that Ms Safe was trialed on risperidone during her most recent hospitalization for osteomyelitis but that she did not take the antipsychotic following discharge. Ms Safe is currently refusing oral antipsychotics.

Ms Safe is certified under the Mental Health Act (MHA) and treated with oral risperidone for 1 month before she is switched to a depot intramuscular formulation of risperidone, given her history of noncompliance. She is released on extended leave to ensure compliance with psychiatric treatment in the community. Ms Safe’s psychosis remits with risperidone treatment, and she no longer removes her bandages. However, she is still noncompliant with offloading and has another recurrence of osteomyelitis that requires readmission to hospital 1 month after discharge. Readmission is again prompted by wound care nurses calling 911.

### Figure. Reasons for hospitalization.

| 1. Treatment of a Medical Illness (Health Care [Consent] and Care Facility [Admission] Act) |
|---|---|---|---|
| **Is the patient capable of consenting to or refusing health care?** | **YES** | **Patient may leave hospital against medical advice if refusing treatment** | **NO** |
| **Is it an emergency?** (necessary to preserve life, prevent serious harm or to alleviate serious pain?) | **YES** | **Is there a substitute decision-maker (SDM) (e.g., personal guardian, representative, temporary SDM, or advance directive) who can provide consent within a reasonable time frame?** | **YES** | **Obtain consent for health care from SDM** |
| | | **NO** | **Consult SDM for consent for health care** |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>2. Treatment of a Psychiatric Illness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Does the patient meet criteria for certification under the Mental Health Act (MHA)?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Disorder of the mind</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Risk of harm to self, harm to others, substantial physical or mental deterioration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Requires inpatient treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Refuses voluntary treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>NOTE:</strong> Certification under the MHA does NOT allow involuntary medical treatment.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>3. Abuse, Neglect, or Self-Neglect (Adult Guardianship Act [AGA])</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is the patient able to seek support and assistance when needed?</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Is it necessary to act without delay to:</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- preserve the adult’s life</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- prevent serious physical or mental harm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AND</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the adult is apparently incapable of giving or refusing consent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
After further investigation by a social worker, it is determined that Ms Safe meets criteria for Section 59 of the Adult Guardianship Act (AGA) because of self-neglect, and she is held in hospital for 1 week after her IV antibiotic treatment has been completed to allow a support and assistance plan (SAP) to be put in place. The SAP includes home care nursing support four times a day to encourage offloading, regular wound care, and help with personal hygiene. Home health nursing staff and community social workers monitor Ms Safe’s compliance with the SAP. Ms Safe has not presented to hospital for 6 months since the time of her last discharge, which is significantly longer than the interval between her last three visits to hospital.

Health Care (Consent) and Care Facility (Admission) Act

When does the HCCCFAA apply?
The HCCCFAA applies to all health care for adults 19 years and older. “Health care” is defined as “anything that is done for a therapeutic, preventative, palliative, diagnostic, cosmetic, or other purpose related to health.” Hospitalization for the purposes of providing health care is part of the health care treatment plan and can either be consented to or refused by patients who are capable of making this decision. In the case of Ms Safe, the HCCCFAA was the first applicable legislation because the initial indication for hospitalization was medical treatment.

All patients who are capable of providing consent for health care must do so before treatment can be delivered, unless it is an emergency. Capacity to consent is specific to the treatment being proposed and must be assessed by the physician who is proposing treatment. However, as the Canadian Medical Protective Association advises, any physician who is uncertain whether a patient has the capacity necessary to provide consent in a nonemergency situation may wish to obtain a second opinion from a colleague. Often psychiatrists are called upon to provide a second opinion.

What does the HCCCFAA permit?
If a patient is capable of refusing treatment, including ongoing hospitalization, they may leave hospital against medical advice. However, if the patient is incapable of refusing treatment, further management hinges on whether the treatment is considered an emergency. Emergencies are defined as anything that is “necessary to preserve life, prevent serious harm or to alleviate serious pain,” and requires clinical judgment by the treating physician. In the event of an emergency where the patient has not previously expressed wishes declining consent for a related intervention, the physician may substitute decision-maker, as outlined in the hierarchy of the HCCCFAA. The temporary substitute decision-maker is often not the same as the next of kin or contact person listed on the hospital chart. For individuals who do not have a suitable temporary substitute decision-maker, the office of the Public Guardian and Trustee can be reached during regular business hours to obtain substitute consent for nonemergency health care. Hospital social workers are often called upon to help identify a temporary substitute decision-maker because they are familiar with the selection hierarchy and the requirements of the decision-maker.

Patients should be verbally notified by the physician about the finding of incapacity to make a treatment decision, but currently there is no formal process for patients in BC to appeal that finding.

What does the HCCCFAA not include?
The HCCCFAA does not include involuntary psychiatric treatment for patients admitted to hospital under the MHA. It also does not address treatment or other control measures of reportable communicable diseases, regardless of patient capacity, in accordance with the Public Health Act: Health Act Communicable Disease Regulation.

What is required for HCCCFAA documentation?
No specific form is required for use of the HCCCFAA. If a physician deems a patient incapable of making a medical treatment decision, they must document their opinion, along with brief reasons, in the patient’s chart. In the case of Ms Safe, she was documented as incapable because she failed to appreciate the foreseeable consequences of declining treatment—she said she would “be fine,” despite a substantial risk of worsening infection, sepsis, and death without treatment. Physicians must also document who has provided consent for treatment in nonemergency situations—either the patient, or a substitute decision-maker for incapable patients. While not required under the HCCCFAA, it is common practice to document this on a health authority consent form, especially for major health care treatment such as surgery, dialysis, or use of blood products.

Capacity to consent is specific to the treatment being proposed and must be assessed by the physician who is proposing treatment.
Mental Health Act
When does the MHA apply?
The MHA, as outlined in Section 22, applies to patients who meet all four of the following criteria:5
• Is suffering from a disorder of the mind that seriously impairs the person’s ability to react appropriately to their environment or to associate with others.
• Requires psychiatric treatment in or through a designated facility.
• Requires care, supervision, and control in or through a designated facility to prevent the person’s substantial mental or physical deterioration or for the protection of self or others.
• Is unsuitable to be a voluntary patient.

The MHA is meant to allow involuntary psychiatric treatment of persons with mental illness who would pose significant risks if their mental health disorder was left untreated.6 In the case of Ms Safe, she met the criteria because she had a psychotic disorder, required treatment in a hospital, was at risk of physical deterioration because she was interfering with her wound care, and was not cooperative with voluntary psychiatric treatment.

What does the MHA permit?
The MHA allows for involuntary psychiatric treatment, defined as “safe and effective psychiatric treatment and includes any procedure necessarily related to the provision of psychiatric treatment.”5 Examples of psychiatric treatment include use of antidepressants, antipsychotics, and mood stabilizers, while an example of an associated procedure is the monitoring of complete blood counts for patients receiving clozapine. Currently, certified patients, even those who are capable of making their own treatment decisions, cannot refuse psychiatric treatment proposed by the treating physician.

The MHA also allows for the extension of the terms of certification upon discharge under extended leave for patients who have poor insight or a history of treatment noncompliance. Extended leave stipulates that if patients do not comply with psychiatric treatment in the community, they can be recalled to hospital for further assessment and treatment. Extended leave enforcement is typically monitored by a community psychiatrist, together with case managers.

Patients must be notified of their rights under the MHA, which include provision of a second opinion regarding treatment and assessment by a review panel regarding the appropriateness of ongoing involuntary hospitalization. Review panel meetings must occur within 14 days of the request for first certification, and the panel is composed of a lawyer, psychiatrist, and member of the general public.7

The MHA authorizes involuntary psychiatric treatment only—treatment of medical illness is addressed under the HCCCFAA.

What does the MHA not include?
The MHA authorizes involuntary psychiatric treatment only—treatment of medical illness is addressed under the HCCCFAA. In the case of Ms Safe, certification under the MHA permits involuntary antipsychotic administration but does not address consent for her IV antibiotics, which is covered by the HCCCFAA. The importance of this distinction is highlighted in recommendation 5 of the BC Ombudsperson Special Report No. 42, Committed to Change: Protecting the Rights of Involuntary Patients under the Mental Health Act.8

What is required for MHA documentation?
Certification under the MHA requires completion of a Form 4: Medical Certificate (Involuntary Admission). The first Form 4 detains an individual for 48 hours; a second Form 4 extends the certification to 1 month. Each Form 4 must be completed by different physicians with an independent licence, but the forms do not need to be completed by a psychiatrist.7 Extensions of certification require completion of a Form 6: Medical Report on Examination of Involuntary Patient (Renewal Certificate), which lasts 1 month, then 3 months, then 6 months for each subsequent renewal. A Form 5: Consent for Treatment (Involuntary Patient) must also be signed by the physician who proposes psychiatric treatment prior to treatment commencing. Several other forms require completion for involuntary admission of a patient, including Forms 13, 15, and 16, which are typically completed by psychiatric nurses on psychiatric inpatient units. Copies of all applicable MHA forms are provided in Appendix 16 of the Guide to the Mental Health Act, 2005 edition.9

Adult Guardianship Act
When does the AGA apply?
The AGA applies to all patients 19 years or older when a report is received or it appears that an adult is experiencing abuse, neglect, or self-neglect and is suspected of not being able to seek support and assistance or is determined as not being able to do so. The inability to seek support and assistance can be due to an illness, disease, injury, or other condition that affects the person’s ability to make decisions about the abuse, neglect, or self-neglect.9 AGA assessments and investigations are conducted by designated responders. It should be clarified who fulfills the role of designated responder in your local setting, but it is most commonly hospital social workers. Physicians play a key role in communicating with designated responders regarding these concerns so that AGA investigations can occur.

Section 59 of the AGA, which authorizes the provision of emergency assistance, is much like the MHA equivalent of certification. For patients who are apparently unable to seek support and assistance when needed, to invoke Section 59 all three criteria must be satisfied:
• The adult is apparently abused, neglected, or self-neglected.
• There is risk to life, or physical/mental harm, or property damage or loss.
• The adult is apparently incapable of providing or refusing consent.

Ms Safe was not seeking support and assistance when needed, since the wound care nurses had to call 911 in order for her to go to hospital for appropriate care. Ms Safe met all three criteria because she appeared to be
Management of vulnerable adult patients seeking to leave hospital

self-neglecting, as evidenced by the fact that she was not complying with offloading, which led to repeated recurrences of osteomyelitis. She was also at risk of serious physical harm and was incapable of providing consent for treatment.

What does the AGA permit?
Designated responders investigate allegations of abuse, neglect, or self-neglect. If criteria for Section 59 are met, this allows:

• Involuntary hospitalization on a short-term basis while investigation and safety planning are underway.
• If risk of abuse, neglect, or self-neglect as well as the inability to seek support and assistance are proven, a support and assistance plan can be developed and put in place following discharge from hospital to help mitigate the applicable risks.

A support and assistance plan specifies any services required by the patient, including “health care, accommodation, social, legal, or financial services.”

Vulnerable adults are not compelled to accept the plan unless a court order is obtained, which is costly and thus obtained infrequently. However, in clinical practice, collaboration with the adult and other associated parties can often result in implementation of a support and assistance plan.

Designated responders must advise patients of their right to obtain legal counsel, but there is no formal review panel similar to the MHA review panel process. Patients can go to court to challenge their involuntary status under Section 59 of the AGA.

For Ms Safe, her hospital stay was extended briefly under Section 59 of the AGA before she was released with a support and assistance plan. A court order was not obtained to compel her to accept the terms, but she was largely cooperative. In future, if Ms Safe does not comply with the terms of the support and assistance plan and is self-neglecting again, she could be brought back to hospital under Section 59 of the AGA, ideally before her condition has deteriorated so significantly that she requires another 6-week course of antibiotics.

What does the AGA not include?
Perhaps in contrast to the MHA, the AGA requires that the least intrusive, most effective measures be taken to mitigate risk. This typically requires some attempts at managing patients in the community with maximal supports before placing them in long-term care facilities.

Section 59 of the AGA, which authorizes the provision of emergency assistance, is much like the MHA equivalent of certification.

What is required for AGA documentation?
Documentation of a Section 59 or an AGA investigation is completed by the designated responder; therefore, the exact format may differ by health authority. When a patient is being held in hospital under Section 59 of the AGA, a form called Adult Guardianship Act Certificate of Emergency Assistance is used by most designated agencies and, like the Form 4 for the MHA, should be located centrally in the patient’s chart.

Recently, a Supreme Court of British Columbia case investigated the protracted involuntary detention of a vulnerable adult in hospital under Section 59(2)(e) of the AGA. This case highlighted that Section 59 is meant to be used as an “emergency measure” and that any detained patients should be notified of their reasons for detention and have the ability to contact a lawyer. In most health authorities in BC, it is considered best practice to ensure the vulnerable adult is reassessed every 5 days to determine that they still meet criteria for detention under Section 59.

Discussion
Challenges to appropriate use of existing legislation
While legislation is clear that the HCCCFAA should be used for incapable adults who require hospitalization for medical treatment, inappropriate use of the MHA for this purpose does occur and is likely multifactorial. Our experience in educating health care providers on this topic has indicated that there is a general lack of knowledge and comfort among physicians regarding use of the emergency provisions under the HCCCFAA for treatment of incapable patients requiring medical treatment. Also, the HCCCFAA does not have a universally recognized equivalent of the MHA Form 4 that documents a patient’s incapacity and that either a substitute decision-maker has consented or emergency conditions are satisfied. The absence of a universally recognized form can create anxiety and uncertainty about whether detention and treatment of an incapable patient is lawful, especially among non-physician health care providers. However, health authorities may have appropriate forms that can be used for this purpose. We propose that having a universally recognized form in BC to document incapacity and appoint a substitute decision-maker may also be beneficial.

Another barrier to using the HCCCFAA appropriately is uncertainty regarding levels of observation of incapable patients who pose a flight risk from open units. Should these patients be treated the same as those certified under the MHA? Strictly speaking, police do not have jurisdiction under the HCCCFAA to bring eloped patients back to hospital, as they do under Section 28 of the MHA. Hospital staff have also expressed concern that security will not assist in the detention of patients who are not certified under the MHA. At our institution, security staff are instructed to follow clinical direction from health care providers and are not to rely on certification status alone to determine which patients should be detained. Suggestions for improvement include developing institutional policies for managing incapable patients who require medical treatment, including guidance on levels of observation and ensuring that patient capacity to consent to treatment is reassessed regularly given that patient capacity can fluctuate. Challenges to using the AGA in practice include physicians’ lack of awareness of the legislation. Since AGA investigations are completed by designated responders who are typically not physicians, clear and collaborative
There is a general lack of knowledge and comfort among physicians regarding use of the emergency provisions under the HCCCFAA for treatment of incapable patients requiring medical treatment.
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ABSTRACT: Ectopic pregnancy refers to implantation of an embryo outside the endometrium. It is a medical emergency, but associated maternal mortality has significantly declined over the decades due to earlier diagnosis and treatment. Timely detection of ectopic pregnancy is contingent on having a high index of suspicion in all women of reproductive age, identifying patient risk factors, and then performing appropriate laboratory testing and imaging. Expectant management is less commonly used than medical management, which is preferred for asymptomatic, vitally stable women who wish to avoid surgery. Minimally invasive surgery is the gold standard for management of unstable or ruptured ectopic pregnancy. Recent data favor salpingectomy for women with a healthy contralateral tube because it has higher treatment success and does not appear to reduce future fertility compared to salpingotomy. However, salpingotomy is suggested for women with a dysfunctional or absent contralateral tube, or those who elect to preserve both tubes and accept the increased risk of treatment failure. Knowledge of the risks and benefits of each treatment option is critical for delivering patient-centred care.

Ectopic pregnancy occurs when a developing embryo implants at a site other than the endometrium of the uterine cavity, most commonly within the fallopian tube. Although the incidence of ectopic pregnancy is estimated to be approximately 2% of all pregnancies, it is one of the most common gynecologic emergencies encountered by community physicians. Ruptured ectopic pregnancy can lead to severe hemorrhage and is a significant cause of pregnancy-related maternal mortality in the first trimester. Thus, timely diagnosis of ectopic pregnancy is essential to prevent maternal mortality and improve treatment outcomes.

Maternal mortality related to ectopic pregnancy has plummeted over the last two decades due to the availability of quantitative beta-human chorionic gonadotropin (b-hCG) testing, transvaginal ultrasound, and laparoscopy, which allow for early diagnosis and intervention. Despite this, ectopic pregnancy and its treatments remain a prevalent cause of morbidity among women and can affect long-term reproductive success. With a comprehensive understanding of ectopic pregnancy, community physicians can help women make informed decisions and thus provide personalized health care. This review outlines the current practices, recent advances, and unresolved topics related to ectopic pregnancy.

Ms Ranchal is a fourth-year medical student at the University of British Columbia. Dr Dunne is a clinical assistant professor at the University of British Columbia and a co-director at the Pacific Centre for Reproductive Medicine in Vancouver. She also serves on the BCMJ Editorial Board.
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to diagnosis, management, and prognosis of ectopic pregnancy.

**Risk factors**

Only half of the women who are diagnosed with ectopic pregnancy have identifiable risk factors. Thus, it is critical to maintain a high index of suspicion in all women of reproductive age who present with amenorrhea, abdominal pain, irregular vaginal bleeding, or a history of ectopic pregnancy. The pretest probability of ectopic pregnancy is increased if multiple risk factors are elicited when taking a history, which can aid in making a prompt diagnosis.

The most well-documented risk factor for an ectopic pregnancy is a previous ectopic pregnancy. Women with a prior ectopic pregnancy have a 10-times higher risk of recurrence than the general population. After one ectopic pregnancy, there is a 10% to 15% chance of recurrence, which increases to 25% in women who have had two or more ectopic pregnancies. Recurrence can be attributed to congenital tubal dysfunction, acquired tubal damage from pelvic inflammatory disease, or previous tubal surgery—all of which may impede embryonic passage through the fallopian tube. Women with perihepatic adhesions (commonly known as Fitz-Hugh-Curtis syndrome), a complication of pelvic inflammatory disease, carry twice the risk of ectopic pregnancy recurrence compared to unaffected women.

Smoking, even “light” consumption of one to nine cigarettes per day, increases the risk of ectopic pregnancy by up to twofold. Some other well-recognized risk factors for ectopic pregnancy are age over 35 years, history of infertility, prior tubal surgery, and laboratory/laparoscopy confirmed pelvic inflammatory disease. Additionally, genital surgery, endometriosis, and dysmenorrhea have been recognized as significant risk factors. Jacob and colleagues also described a 1.8-fold (95% CI 1.54-2.09) increase in the risk of ectopic pregnancy in women with a diagnosis of mental health disorders, including depression, anxiety, adjustment disorder, and somatoform disorder. This finding might be limited to an association, confounded by increased rates of psychiatric disorders in women with a history of infertility, chronic pelvic pain, endometriosis, recurrent miscarriages, and so on. It is also possible that the medications used for treating such disorders disrupt embryo transport through the fallopian tube. More studies are needed to understand the association between mental health and ectopic pregnancy before drawing definitive conclusions.

Older data associated intrauterine contraceptive devices (IUDs) with ectopic pregnancy. And while it remains true that if pregnancy occurs with an IUD in situ the risk of ectopic pregnancy is high, all forms of contraception reduce the risk of pregnancy and ectopic pregnancy. In vitro fertilization (IVF) was previously thought to be associated with increased risk of ectopic pregnancy due to possible underlying fallopian tube dysfunction in the infertile population and procedure-related factors. The latter may not be relevant today because the IVF practices associated with increased rates of ectopic pregnancy, such as transfer of multiple embryos and day–3 embryo transfer, are less common in modern clinical practice. As a result, the incidence of ectopic pregnancy after IVF has decreased significantly, and many physicians now suggest that IVF pregnancies may be at little or no increased risk of ectopic pregnancy compared to natural conceptions.

**Diagnosis**

**History and presentation**

Ectopic pregnancies almost always occur in the fallopian tube (> 95%), particularly in the ampulla (distal portion) (70%). Fewer tubal pregnancies occur in the isthmus (middle portion) (12%) and fimbria (11%). Rarely, pregnancies may grow in the cervix (< 1%) or abdomen (1%), or on the ovary (3%). It is important to obtain a full history, including menstrual and obstetrical history, to determine gestational age and evaluate for risk factors in all women of reproductive age. Women with an ectopic pregnancy most commonly present with abdominal pain, vaginal bleeding, or both. However, these are also symptoms of miscarriage, which is, by far, the most common cause of failing pregnancy and/or abnormally rising hCG levels. An ectopic pregnancy may be intact or ruptured at presentation; the latter might present with hemodynamic instability and an acute abdomen that requires urgent surgical management to address ongoing hemorrhage.

Initial workup includes confirmation of pregnancy (through urine or serum hCG testing) and a transvaginal ultrasound to determine the location of the pregnancy.

**Laboratory investigations**

Serial quantitative serum hCG testing can be helpful in determining if the current pregnancy is likely to be in an ectopic location. In a normal pregnancy, the hCG level rises steeply for the first 4 weeks, followed by a slower rise until 10 weeks gestational age, with an eventual plateauing. In most normal intrauterine pregnancies, the hCG level will rise 65% to 100% every 48 hours, although even a short plateau in hCG can be normal in rare cases. When performing serial hCG measurements, it is recommended that the same laboratory be used to minimize the risk of interassay variability, which can be 5% to 10.

Decreasing hCG levels strongly suggest a failing pregnancy; but they do not indicate its location. If no intrauterine pregnancy has been confirmed, the woman should be closely monitored because it is possible for an ectopic pregnancy to rupture, even with very low hCG levels. The use of discriminatory hCG levels to determine when an intrauterine pregnancy should be visible on ultrasound is discouraged. Evidence from the 1980s suggested that hCG of 1000 to 2000 IU/L without a visible pregnancy could be assumed to be ectopic. It is now widely acknowledged that hCG can be nonspecific, as many ectopic pregnancies will never reach a level of 2000 IU/L or might rupture before that threshold. Conversely, women who have had multiple gestations have higher hCG levels than women who have had a single gestation, and using 2000 IU/L as a discriminatory value might not be accurate for such pregnancies. Historical use of a “threshold” has resulted in the treatment of intrauterine pregnancies with methotrexate, a chemotherapeutic agent; hence, newer studies have urged caution and patience when evaluating early pregnancies of uncertain viability.

**Imaging**

Transvaginal ultrasound is the optimal method for imaging pregnancies in the early first trimester. In a normal pregnancy, a gestational
sac is visualized at 5 weeks gestation (3 weeks after conception), when it is 2 to 5 mm in diameter. Following that, the yolk sac is the earliest structure to develop inside the gestational sac and is normally seen by 5 weeks and 5 days of pregnancy. Presence of an intrauterine pregnancy (gestational sac plus a yolk sac or embryo) on transvaginal ultrasound effectively eliminates the diagnosis of an ectopic pregnancy other than the rare scenario of a heterotopic pregnancy (one embryo within the uterus and another extra-uterine). However, even with modern high-resolution ultrasound, it is rare that ultrasound alone is sufficient to be definitive. Without a yolk sac, an intrauterine pregnancy cannot be confirmed, and clinicians should be wary because it might represent a pseudosac—a fluid collection in the endometrial cavity caused by sloughing of the decidua. To differentiate between a pseudosac and an early gestational sac, a follow-up ultrasound in 7 to 14 days should be arranged.

Transvaginal ultrasound can definitively diagnose an ectopic pregnancy if an extra-uterine gestational sac with yolk sac/embryo is visible [Figure]. However, most ectopic pregnancies lack these definitive features on imaging and are often described as an inhomogeneous adnexal mass separate from the ovaries. An adnexal mass might also represent a cyst, corpus luteum, or bowel. The presence of hemoperitoneum (echogenic intraperitoneal fluid) and placental blood flow within the periphery of this mass (“ring of fire”) on color doppler can aid in diagnosis.

**Expectant management**

Expectant management of ectopic pregnancy involves allowing the pregnancy to take its natural course with close physician follow-up until there is clinical resolution of symptoms, a negative urine pregnancy test, or negative serum b-hCG. There is evidence that expectant management of ectopic pregnancy can be a safe option in a select population of women who are hemodynamically stable, asymptomatic, have a b-hCG value less than 1000 IU/L, with decreasing levels, and can reliably access regular physician follow-up. These women can avoid the use of methotrexate and its possible side effects. It is worth noting, however, that a 5-year follow-up cohort study of 217 women who underwent expectant, medical, or surgical management of a first ectopic pregnancy suggested there was a 2.68 times higher risk of recurrent ectopic pregnancy in women who were managed expectantly. A randomized study called ACToRNOT (ClinicalTrials.gov NCT02152696) has completed recruitment to compare expectant management versus uterine evacuation plus methotrexate versus methotrexate alone in women with ectopic pregnancy.

**Medical treatment**

Methotrexate, the most common option for treating ectopic pregnancy, was first used for this purpose in 1982. It is a folate antagonist that prevents DNA replication and affects rapidly proliferating cells like that of a developing embryo. A single dose of methotrexate is administered intramuscularly based on body surface area (50 mg/m²). Its effectiveness is assessed by serial b-hCG measurements on days 4 and 7 post-treatment, then weekly until resolution. A reduction of less than 15% in b-hCG level between days 4 and 7 posttreatment may indicate that treatment is inadequate; therefore, a second dose of methotrexate might be required. Close observation is required to ensure patient stability, declining b-hCG levels, and normal liver function tests because methotrexate can affect liver function.

The b-hCG level at presentation is strongly associated with treatment success of a single dose methotrexate injection. A systematic review that analyzed five observational studies determined that women with a baseline b-hCG level of more than 5000 IU/L were 4 times more likely to have treatment failure with single-dose methotrexate than those with a presenting baseline between 2000 and 4999 IU/L. Thus, most guidelines suggest using methotrexate to treat ectopic pregnancy in women with a presenting b-hCG level less than 5000 IU/L. Other factors such as ectopic mass > 3.5 cm and presence of fetal heartbeat on transvaginal ultrasound are considered relative contraindications to the use of medical therapy because they might indicate a more developed embryo, which implies increased risk of ectopic rupture. However, few data are available to support these recommendations.

One in three women may experience mild, self-limited side effects of methotrexate, including nausea, diarrhea, stomatitis, and conjunctivitis. Serious complications, including anaphylaxis, pulmonary damage, and myelosuppression, have also been reported. Since methotrexate can cause temporary hepatic dysfunction, it is important to obtain a CBC and baseline liver and renal function laboratory results, and to monitor liver function if indicated. Patients should also be advised to stop their folate-containing supplements because they...
inhibit methotrexate function. Nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory medications should also be avoided because they may reduce renal clearance of the drug by reducing renal blood flow. Alcohol should be avoided during methotrexate treatment to prevent the combined effect of hepatotoxic drugs. Methotrexate should not be administered to patients with liver or renal dysfunction, lung disease, hematologic dysfunction, immunodeficiency, or peptic ulcer disease, or to those who are breastfeeding. Given that this is an outpatient treatment, and an ectopic pregnancy may rupture during therapy, it is important to alert patients to the symptoms of a ruptured ectopic pregnancy and to seek immediate medical attention if they occur.

Advances in medical treatment of ectopic pregnancy may be on the horizon. Researchers from the University of British Columbia have demonstrated that gonadotropin-releasing hormone (GnRH) and its receptor are expressed in trophoblast cells and fallopian tube epithelium at ectopic pregnancy implantation sites. This presents the potential to use a targeted and less toxic agent for conservative treatment of ectopic pregnancies. A randomized controlled trial comparing GnRH agonist versus methotrexate was registered in March 2020. The trial is also planning to investigate the use of letrozole, an aromatase inhibitor that blocks the final step in estrogen synthesis, versus methotrexate to treat ectopic pregnancy, and is stated to conclude in 2022.

**Surgical management**

With improved laparoscopic instruments and techniques, minimally invasive surgery has become the gold standard for treating ectopic pregnancy and has mostly replaced laparotomy. Laparoscopic surgery offers a safer, faster, cheaper, and more esthetic option. With improved operator experience, even stable but symptomatic ectopic pregnancies can be managed with laparoscopy, which can result in quicker hemostasis and better patient outcomes. However, laparotomy is sometimes used for hemodynamically unstable cases because it might offer better field visualization when managing a large bleed.

Two laparoscopic techniques are available for treating tubal pregnancies: salpingectomy, where the fallopian tube containing the ectopic pregnancy is removed, and salpingotomy, where after removal of the ectopic mass, the affected fallopian tube is preserved. There is ongoing debate about treatment success, future fertility, and risk of repeat ectopic pregnancy after treatment with salpingotomy versus salpingectomy.

**Salpingectomy versus salpingotomy**

**Treatment success and future fertility**

Given that salpingotomy requires the surgeon to meticulously extract a small trophoblastic mass while preserving the fallopian tube, the method might be prone to trophoblastic tissue retention, which can necessitate a salpingectomy. Multiple retrospective studies report trophoblast persistence rates between 9.0% and 12.0% for salpingotomy and 1.8% for salpingectomy. In an open-label, randomized control trial named European Surgery in Ectopic Pregnancy, women with ultrasound-confirmed ectopic pregnancy who were eligible for surgical management were randomly assigned to either salpingotomy or salpingectomy. The trial reported significantly higher postsurgical trophoblast persistence in the salpingotomy group than in the salpingectomy group. The trial also found no significant difference in rates of naturally conceived pregnancies 36 months postsurgery (fecundity ratio 1.06, p = 0.687). Thus, for women with tubal pregnancy and a healthy contralateral tube, salpingectomy is a reasonable treatment option because it minimizes risk of ectopic mass persistence and does not seem to reduce future fertility. However, for women with contralateral tubal pathology or no contralateral tube, conservative treatment with salpingotomy should be considered if they wish to maintain the potential for natural conception.

**Risk of recurrent ectopic pregnancy**

Multiple studies have evaluated the risk of ectopic recurrence following salpingotomy versus salpingectomy, but no consensus has been reached. A 12-year retrospective study found a recurrent ectopic pregnancy rate of 13% in the ipsilateral tube following salpingotomy, while in the salpingectomy group, there were no recorded recurrences. These data might be confounded by the fact that women who choose to undergo salpingotomy are more likely intending to conceive and have higher pregnancy rates compared to those who choose to undergo salpingectomy. Multiple retrospective studies that have included only women who are actively wanting to conceive post-salpingotomy or post-salpingectomy have reported no difference in rates of ectopic pregnancy recurrence between the two groups. Thus, data on the rates of ectopic pregnancy recurrence after different surgical procedures are still conflicting.

**Discussion**

**Medical versus surgical management**

Patients who are asymptomatic and hemodynamically stable can be managed with either intramuscular methotrexate or laparoscopic surgery. The decision should be guided by patient characteristics, laboratory and radiological findings, and patient preference after discussion...
of the risks and benefits. When a patient has any contraindications to methotrexate use, surgical management is often necessary. Surgical management of a stable, asymptomatic patient might also be prudent if the patient wishes to concurrently undergo tubal sterilization or requests removal of a tube with recurrent ectopics.

It is important to clarify that no long-term effects on future fertility have been identified after methotrexate use or surgical treatment. It is common to suggest a 3-month waiting period post-methotrexate treatment before attempting to conceive again. This time frame appears to be somewhat arbitrary because studies have suggested that conception before the 3-month mark is no more likely to result in birth defects. Among women intending to conceive, no significant difference in spontaneous intrauterine pregnancy rates have been found when comparing women previously treated with single dose methotrexate versus those who underwent surgical treatment for ectopic pregnancy. One study reviewed 594 patients who achieved pregnancy using IVF after one or more ectopic pregnancies. Comparing women who were managed with unilateral salpingectomy to those managed with methotrexate indicated that the rates of ectopic pregnancy were equivalent (3.6% versus 2.8%; adjusted OR 1.4, 95% CI 0.5–3.8). The rate of recurrence was most strongly associated with the number of previous ectopic pregnancies rather than the treatment modality used during those pregnancies. Thus, risk of recurrence of ectopic pregnancies should not play a major role in decisions about treatment when comparing medical versus surgical options in eligible women.

Summary

Clinicians should be aware of the possibility of ectopic pregnancy for all women of reproductive age because early diagnosis is critical to reducing maternal mortality and improving treatment success rates. An understanding of the treatments, eligibility criteria, necessary follow-up, and pros and cons of each treatment option can help clinicians ensure patient safety and autonomy. Medical or expectant management is a safe and effective option for a carefully selected population of stable, asymptomatic women. Laparoscopy is the gold standard for surgical management of ectopic pregnancy, with salpingectomy having higher success rates than salpingotomy and comparable future fertility rates. Clinical presentation, ectopic size, hCG level, and patient preference are all important to consider when recommending treatment options for ectopic pregnancy because these factors may influence treatment success, risk of recurrent ectopic pregnancy, and short-term fertility.
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Atypical severe presentations of the oculocardiac reflex: Two case reports

Physicians who treat patients with facial trauma need to know how to prevent or manage the occurrence of the oculocardiac reflex because it can cause severe hypotensive or bradycardic/asystolic events and cardiac arrest.

ABSTRACT: The oculocardiac reflex is a rare but potential cause of severe hypotensive or bradycardic/asystolic events in patients suffering from facial trauma. Though the most common side effect of the oculocardiac reflex is bradycardia, clinicians should be concerned about a further decline to potentially fatal arrhythmias, asystole, and even cardiac arrest. This article presents two severe cases of the oculocardiac reflex in the setting of facial trauma. The first case involves cardiac arrest at the time of midface fracture reduction. The second case involves severe hypotension requiring vasopressor support secondary to severe intraorbital pressure.

Vagal reflexes are well known to cause a change in blood pressure or heart rate. This is seen often in medicine and is termed a “vagal response.” The most common example of vagal reflex stimulation used clinically involves slowing the heart rate with external carotid massage to correct supraventricular tachycardia. However, other maneuvers, such as rubbing the eyes or temples, can also cause a reduction in blood pressure or heart rate. The oculocardiac reflex is a reflex arc created by the trigeminal and vagus nerves. It is defined as a slowing of the heart rate by more than 20% from baseline following globe manipulation or traction of the extraocular muscles.

As with any reflex, there is an afferent and efferent limb. The trigeminal nerve serves as the sensory or afferent limb, while the vagus nerve serves as the motor or efferent limb of the reflex arc. Therefore, the reflex is initiated by activation of stretch receptors in the periorbital and ocular soft tissue, either through direct traction or increased pressure. This leads to stimulation of the vagal motor response, which causes impulses to the sinoatrial node and triggers a slowing of the heart rate.

The most common signs of oculocardiac reflex are bradycardia and hypotension. In severe cases, arrhythmia, asystole, and cardiac arrest can occur. This reflex is encountered primarily with pathology causing acutely entrapped muscles, such as orbital floor fractures. There are reported cases of asystole with activation of this reflex due to direct surgical manipulation of the temporalis muscle, but we were not able to find any cases of asystole secondary to indirect manipulation of the orbit or periorbital musculature. We also were not able to find many cases of severe hypotension due to orbital pressure alone.

Case data
Patient 1
A 59-year-old male suffered a fracture to the left zygoma due to a direct punch to the cheek. He was diagnosed with a depressed left zygoma fracture with comminution of the orbital floor. His medical history was positive only for high blood pressure (treated with ramipril and furosemide) and high cholesterol (treated with atorvastatin). His surgery was performed 16 days after the injury to allow time to come off ASA. No preoperative muscle entrapment was present.

Once the patient was successfully under general anesthesia with an oral endotracheal tube, his upper buccal sulcus and lower lid were infiltrated with 0.25% bupivacaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine. An intraoral incision was made, and dissection was taken down to the periosteum, which allowed a retractor to be placed under his zygomatic arch. As soon as the zygoma was reduced, the patient went into asystole.

The ECG tracing demonstrated persistent and unresolving asystole. It was recognized...
immediately when the anesthetic machine monitor alarm sounded. The anesthesiologist instructed the surgical team to cease operating immediately and take pressure off the operative site. Further treatment included intravenous injection of 0.6 mg atropine and 15.0 mg ephedrine. As asystole persisted, CPR was initiated. A wide complex agonal rhythm was noted as CPR commenced. Approximately 50 seconds of CPR was performed, during which time narrow QRS complexes became evident on the ECG. When CPR was stopped, a sinus rhythm was present, along with a perfusing blood pressure. The approximate elapsed time from when the event was recognized and spontaneous rhythm returned was between 60 and 90 seconds. Epinephrine was not required because an acceptable blood pressure was detected shortly after resumption of spontaneous sinus rhythm. Due to these events and clinically acceptable reduction of the midface fracture, the incision was closed, and no plate fixation was performed. A forced duction test showed no orbital muscular entrapment.

The patient had an uneventful emergence and extubation. He was transferred to the post-anesthetic care unit in stable condition, alert and cooperative. Following hospital discharge, he underwent outpatient cardiology review, including a stress test and 24-hour Holter monitor test. No cardiac disease was detected.

**Patient 2**

While driving, an 82-year-old male, who was otherwise healthy and living independently, was struck from the side in a motor vehicle collision, which resulted in multiple injuries, including complex midface and depressed skull fractures. The patient was admitted to the ICU and assessed immediately by a plastic surgeon for management of his periorbital fractures. The trauma and ICU team was concerned about ongoing hemorrhage from concomitant pelvic and long bone fractures. The patient was hypotensive and required vasopressor support of 7 mcg/kg/min norepinephrine bitartrate. He was bradycardic at 45 to 55 beats per minute. The Glasgow Coma Scale was 3T owing to sedation needs. Clinical evaluation demonstrated significant proptosis. A diagnosis of orbital compartment syndrome secondary to his displaced orbit and skull base fractures was tested. Intraocular pressures were measured to be 28 mm Hg in the involved globe (OD) and 15 mm in the contralateral globe (OS).

An emergent lateral canthotomy and cantholysis procedure was performed at the bedside under local anesthesia (1% lidocaine with 1:100,000 epinephrine) to reduce the patient’s ocular pressures. Immediately after release and with serial evaluations, OD ocular pressures decreased to 9 to 11 mm Hg, which were equal to the contralateral side at that time. Shortly after orbital decompression, the patient’s blood pressure and heart rate stabilized, and he no longer required vasopressor support. An ophthalmologist was consulted and did not identify any evidence of intraocular trauma or globe rupture. The patient underwent urgent operative reduction and internal fixation of his facial and depressed skull fractures within 24 hours of decompression.

**Discussion**

Various stimuli can cause activation of the oculocardiac reflex. Anesthesiologists, ophthalmologists, maxillofacial and plastic surgeons, trauma teams, intensivists, and emergency physicians who deal with patients who have trauma to the structures of the orbit or face need to be aware of this reflex, its potential consequences, and how to manage or prevent its occurrence.

Though the most common side effect of the oculocardiac reflex is bradycardia, clinicians should be concerned about a further decline to potentially fatal arrhythmias, asystole, and even cardiac arrest. Because the oculocardiac reflex is a vagal reflex, it should also be considered in patients with unexplained hypotension. The only definitive treatment is the immediate cessation of the triggering stimulus.

In our first case, it is possible that the oral approach to the zygomatic arch caused mild irritation to the insertion of the temporalis muscle, though Bhattacharjee’s report suggested that the muscle’s involvement in the reflex arc suggested that it was direct pressure on the muscle that caused the reaction. It is more likely that the delayed nature of the treatment caused the asystole. By 16 days posttrauma, the bones would have started to knit together, and elevation of the zygoma would have caused direct movement of the orbital bones and a sudden change in orbital pressure. Due to the patient being on ASA, the risk of postoperative periorbital hemorrhage necessitated the surgical delay.

In our second case, the displacement of the bony structures into the orbit, along with post-traumatic swelling, caused compression of the globe and orbital musculature. Despite proptosis, the orbit is a closed compartment, and this quickly caused a compartment syndrome to develop. While an awake patient would complain of pain and visual changes, an intubated individual will have no signs or symptoms early in the disease progression. The significant effect of orbital compartment syndrome and the ensuing oculocardiac reflex on the blood pressure and heart rate was seen by the rapid removal of vasodilator medications after correction of the orbital pressure.

**Summary**

The oculocardiac reflex is a rare but potential cause of severe hypotensive or bradycardic/asystolic events in patients suffering from facial trauma and should be considered quickly in the clinical setting.

**References**

PQI project makes appetizing discoveries for long-term care residents

Istitutional food consistently receives negative feedback, whether the person rejecting it is a hospital patient or a vulnerable senior living in long-term care. As medical coordinator at Providence Healthcare’s Holy Family Hospital long-term care in Vancouver, I noticed that during the annual team-family conferences with interdisciplinary staff, long-term care residents, and their families, food frequently came up as a topic of concern.

I seized the opportunity to apply for a physician quality improvement (PQI) project through Vancouver Coastal Health/Providence Health Authority. I wanted to study this patient-based issue through a quality-improvement lens, with value-based health care in mind, and with the goal of decreasing residents’ food complaints by 20%.

Beginning in March 2018, I started measuring how much food was being wasted at Holy Family long-term care, and learning from the residents about their concept of the food experience and how it could be improved. Keeping in mind that 82% of the residents have cognitive impairment and some have language barriers, we enlisted volunteers to help attain direct input from the seniors about the food experience.

The quality improvement team then came together to collaborate with care aides, dietitians, nurses, and the volunteer coordinator. We took a Plan-Do-Study-Act (PDSA) approach, assessing the problem through three measures: a chart audit to see how many dietitian referrals pertained to food preferences or complaints, a food waste audit, and a patient food-experience survey.

For the food waste audit, residential care aides—who had already observed that lunch was the most wasted meal of the day—suggested using food slips to record food consumed. At lunch, 38% of the entrées went uneaten. The aides felt this might be a matter of timing; lunch was served so close to breakfast that residents seemed to have little appetite for it.

Vancouver Coastal Health PQI project advisors Amy Chang and Enrique Fernandez-Rui led a root-cause analysis (a fish-bone diagram) to settle on two potential interventions. The first was using lipped plates, which help residents (many of whom are cognitively impaired) scoop food into their mouths to prevent so much of their food landing on the tray beneath the dish.

We also switched the portion sizes of lunch and dinner, maintaining the same number of daily calories. When we assessed the amount of wastage from the main entrées from both cognitively impaired and cognitively intact residents, the rate did not change much, with an average 32% of wastage in December 2018 and 27% in September 2019.

For cognitively intact residents, however, the switch of entrée portion sizes decreased wastage from 48% in December 2018 to 24% in September 2019.

The number of times residents were referred to dietitians because they’d expressed food preferences or made food-related complaints actually increased over the course of our project, probably indicating more awareness of how to give feedback and advocate for change. We learned that for long-term care residents, food quality, including taste, temperature, texture, and the option to eat communally are more important than the dishware, cutlery, or environment that others may deem important.

With social isolation more extreme due to COVID-19 restrictions, the experience of eating is increasingly important to frail seniors’ quality of life. I hope that our results will inspire other BC physician leaders and health care providers to discuss and investigate this crucial patient need.

PQI is a flagship initiative of the Specialist Services Committee, one of four Joint Collaborative Committees funded by Doctors of BC and the BC government. PQI has supported this initiative and many others that are making a real difference to promote innovation in our health care system. For more information, go to https://sscbc.ca/physician-engagement/regional-quality-improvement-initiative. Family doctors participating in PQI are supported by sessional funding from the General Practice Services Committee.

—Eileen Wong, MD
Family Physician and Medical Coordinator at Providence Healthcare’s Holy Family Hospital Long Term Care

This article was submitted by the Specialist Services Committee and has not been peer reviewed by the BCMJ Editorial Board.
Is it safe for patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices to charge electric vehicles?

A look at the potential consequences.

Caleb A.N. Roda, MD

ABSTRACT: Patients with cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs) are susceptible to electromagnetic interference and its potential harmful consequences from a variety of sources. Recent widespread consumer adoption of electric vehicles poses a new source of electromagnetic interference in the daily environment for patients with CIEDs. Current research shows no interference for CIED patients charging electric vehicles at low power; however, the effects of high-powered electric vehicle charging have yet to be experientially tested. Understanding the potential consequences of this powerful technology for patients with CIEDs is necessary to keep them safe while progressing toward a more sustainable future.

Introduction

Cardiac implantable electronic devices (CIEDs), including pacemakers, implantable cardiac defibrillators, and cardiac resynchronization, have become well established standards of care for a variety of tachyarrhythmias, bradyarrhythmias, and in more recent years, heart failure. Cardiac implantations have been increasing globally due to improvements in technology, growing medical indications, and an aging population. More than 1 million cardiac implants in 61 countries occurred during 2009, a substantial increase over the previous world pacing survey conducted in 2005. CIEDs are known to be susceptible to electromagnetic interface (EMI) from environmental, industrial, and hospital sources. The electric current flowing through these sources generates a magnetic field (valid proxy for EMI) that can induce electrical fields in CIED circuitry, leading to pacing inhibition, device reprogramming, and inappropriate shock delivery. Electric vehicles (EVs) have become ubiquitous in the global vehicle market and pose a new potential environmental source of EMI for CIED patients, especially as it pertains to their high-powered charging.

Effects of electromagnetic interference on cardiac devices

During EV charging, the current flow in the charging cable creates a magnetic field that can potentially induce EMI in nearby devices. It has been experimentally shown that current flowing through an electronic arc welder cable during operation generated a magnetic field strength of 100–130 micro Tesla (μT), and induced inappropriate atrial sensing in a participant with a unipolar sensing pacemaker. Current CIEDs almost exclusively use bipolar leads and have a higher magnetic field threshold of about 300μT before EMI is apparent. Ventricular oversensing is clinically the most relevant problem caused by EMI, which may lead to asystole in the case of pacing inhibition in pacemaker-dependent patients. Device manufacturers have taken many steps to limit EMI on modern CIEDs through shielding, filters, bipolar leads, and components with less ferromagnetic material. Despite these efforts, there remain reports of EMI in the general environment at an incidence of 0.27% per patient per year. As EV charging continues to become more powerful with higher current flow, it is important to test whether charging an EV can generate a magnetic field strong enough to cause EMI in patients with CIEDs.

Safety of electronic transportation systems

Current research assessing the safety of electrically powered transportation systems for patients with CIEDs shows no measurable interference. Magnetically levitated linear motor cars, trains, trams, and hybrid vehicles have proven to be safe for patients with CIEDs to ride in and or operate. More recently, the magnetic fields generated during regular-powered charging of the consumer EVs Volkswagen e-up!, BMW i3, Nissan Leaf, Tesla model 85S, and Tesla model S P90D have been examined on patients with CIEDs. The highest magnetic field recorded was 116.5 mT by the Tesla model 85S around the charging cable. In both experiments there were no episodes of over- or undersensing, inappropriate pacing, pacing inhibition, or device reprogramming. Furthermore, Lennerz and colleagues recently published the complete methodological details of their 2018 study, highlighting the wide selection of cardiac devices tested and thus the...
generalizability of their safety results.20 Both experiments had a small sample size and were underpowered to detect rare events; nonetheless, CIED patients should feel reassured operating and charging EVs under similar circumstances.

High-powered electric vehicle charging
Currently, EV manufacturers such as Tesla have established a public global network of high-powered charging stations for their vehicles. The “supercharging” offered by Tesla is able to charge their EVs faster using higher current flow. It was shown experimentally that magnetic field strength around the charging cable during Tesla EV charging increased almost proportionally with current flow.19 Tesla V2 direct current superchargers generate a current flow twelvefold higher than the charging that has been experimentally tested on participants with CIEDs. This creates the potential for generating magnetic fields around the charging cable that exceed the 300 mT shown to cause EMI in unipolar and bipolar CIEDs in vivo.12,13 Caution is warranted given the gap in knowledge surrounding the effects of high-powered EV charging on CIED function.

Conclusion
Manufacturers of CIEDs are regularly improving their safety and effectiveness; however, these devices are not without risks and will likely continue being susceptible to EMI from a variety of sources. Currently, EV manufacturers offer the capability to charge at much higher powers than previously shown to be safe. More research is needed to elucidate the effects of high-powered EV charging on patients with CIEDs and allow for the continued adoption of more efficient and powerful EVs without sacrificing safety.
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The spike in overdose deaths in BC due to COVID-19 serves as a reminder that the lack of access to harm-reduction services has significant implications for people who use drugs (PWUD). One of the cornerstones of harm-reduction services in BC is the Take Home Naloxone (THN) program created in 2012. We hope that by providing insight into the program, medical providers will discuss and offer the lifesaving THN kits in clinics and hospital settings when appropriate.

History of naloxone kits in BC: From inception to expansion

The Take Home Naloxone program’s history, how far we’ve come since its inception, and the work yet to be done.

Vivian W.L. Tsang, MGC, Jane A. Buxton, MBBS, MHSc, FRCPC

Increasing numbers of heroin-related deaths in 2011 due to a toxic drug supply was a precursor to the overdose crisis in British Columbia. At that time, naloxone was not widely available in Canada despite its 40-year approval history for use in opioid respiratory depression reversal. Naloxone has greater affinity for µ-opioid receptors in the brain than opioids and thus naloxone acts as a competitive antagonist.¹ This allows for effective reversal of the symptoms of opioid toxicity, including respiratory depression for up to 60 minutes while emergency services are called.² While Europe, Australia, and the United States had developed THN programs in some jurisdictions for PWUD, BC, a frontrunner on the harm-reduction scene, had not yet responded.³

Peers with lived experience in the community were witnessing friends and family die with no means of intervention. Their voices fueled the determination to make changes to the existing availability of naloxone to reduce morbidity and mortality among PWUD. The BC Centre for Disease Control (BCCDC) harm-reduction team received approval to implement a pilot THN program in 2012. The team visited a program that had been initiated in 2005 in Edmonton and, with input and generous support from colleagues in the US, began developing training and data collection materials and source supplies.

The pilot was a grassroots effort, with the first THN kits designed from sunglasses cases bought in bulk in Vancouver’s Chinatown. They were assembled in the BCCDC pharmacy, but during times of high demand, staff volunteers from across the centre would put them together during their lunch breaks. The naloxone ampoules were added by the pharmacy, where lot numbers and expiry dates were recorded in the kits. This made it possible to achieve central distribution despite limited resources.
Still under Schedule I regulations at the time (prescription-only medication), naloxone had to be prescribed by a physician, which led to the development of stock stickers that would be filled out in advance with information including naloxone batch number, prescriber details, and patient identification. The stickers were placed on pill bottles containing two ampoules of naloxone. The early program also kept a record of every patient who had been prescribed naloxone and received a THN kit.

The major policy issues of the THN program were increasing access to naloxone, tackling public and professional pushback through promotion and education, and identifying physician champions, who in the early days, were critically important to the program’s expansion. The rollout of the program was slow and resistance emerged. The first community training session at the Vancouver Area Network of Drug Users (VANDU) was put on hold until concerns stemming from a lack of understanding of the action and scheduling of naloxone were allayed. Emergency departments in Vancouver were approached, and although supportive in principle, they declined to participate initially as they felt unable to manage the burden of training in a busy clinical environment.

Three components needed for site enrollment were identified: an educator, a prescriber, and a dispenser, and approval from the regional health authority was obtained. Champions in the Royal Inland Hospital Emergency Department initiated the first THN program in any emergency department in Canada in 2014. By the end of that year, the program had been implemented at 61 sites, and 1198 THN kits had been distributed to clients in the community [Figure].

The SAVE ME procedure (stimulate, airway, ventilate, evaluate, muscular injection with 1 mL of naloxone 0.4 mg, and evaluate) was used for training and displayed on posters distributed at sites where THN kits were available. Providers were educated on the distribution and use of naloxone, as well as how to challenge common misperceptions about naloxone as an enabler to opioid use. Fiscal and time constraints, coupled with work to retain prescribers, were identified as barriers for expansion at that time.

Over the next few years, a number of factors came into play. First, the emergence of fentanyl and its analogs in the toxic street-drug supply led to an unpredictable potency of illicit opioids and an exponential trend in overdose deaths. A series of regulatory changes in 2016 and 2017 reduced barriers to naloxone access. In 2016, the federal Minister of Health removed naloxone from the Prescription Drug List, and in September 2016 naloxone was made unscheduled by the College of Pharmacists of BC, allowing it to be

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Newly joined in year (Cumulative total in year)</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>27 (33)</td>
<td>28 (61)</td>
<td>45 (106)</td>
<td>349 (455)</td>
<td>527 (982)</td>
<td>467 (1,449)</td>
<td>246 (1,695)</td>
<td>100 (1,795)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kits Shipped to Sites (Cumulative total in year)</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>1,252 (1,602)</td>
<td>1,973 (3,575)</td>
<td>5,886 (9,461)</td>
<td>52,262 (61,723)</td>
<td>140,748 (202,471)</td>
<td>195,696 (398,167)</td>
<td>232,312 (630,479)</td>
<td>245,953 (876,432)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kits Reported Distributed to Clients (Cumulative total in year)</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>617 (723)</td>
<td>1,198 (1,921)</td>
<td>3,152 (5,073)</td>
<td>21,519 (26,592)</td>
<td>63,297 (89,889)</td>
<td>60,463 (150,352)</td>
<td>51,498 (201,850)</td>
<td>27,301 (229,151)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Overdose Reversals Reported using THN Kitsb (Cumulative total in year)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>36 (41)</td>
<td>127 (168)</td>
<td>397 (565)</td>
<td>3,941 (4,506)</td>
<td>15,521 (20,027)</td>
<td>21,247 (41,274)</td>
<td>20,575 (61,849)</td>
<td>14,039 (75,888)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. THN site (excluding inactive sites) and order counts are complete until November 30, 2020; distribution data is reasonably complete to June 30, 2020, due to lag in kit distribution record return to Harm Reduction Services, but includes all records to November 30, 2020.

b. Based on client kits refilled due to naloxone use on self/others to reverse an overdose (distribution data).

**FIGURE.** Number of THN sites, kits shipped and reported distributed, and overdose reversals 2012 to 30 November 2020. [source: BCCDC]
nondistributed in the community without a physician prescription. Following the declaration of a public health emergency in BC on 14 April 2016, the program expanded quickly, with increased public awareness and acceptance. Two months later, naloxone became available at all emergency departments through a ministerial directive. In October 2016, the kit production (without naloxone) was outsourced to an external company. Evidence shows that naloxone successfully reduced overdose deaths, improving overall overdose morbidity and mortality.

The Facility Overdose Response Box program was launched in late 2016 to support community site staff and non–health care service providers on site to have ongoing access to naloxone and other resources, and ensured training, protocols, and policies were in place to support overdose events. Increased overdose deaths and delays in federal approval of supervised consumption site applications led the BC Minister of Health to enact a ministerial order to establish overdose prevention services, which enable clients to use drugs in an observed setting. In 2016, additional THN distribution sites were added to satisfy the twentyfold increase in demand for naloxone. A massive scale-up of the program occurred during the initial peak of the overdose crisis, with distribution increasing from 4688 kits per month in November 2016 to 11 000 kits per month in January 2017.

Development of multiple educational resources also increased in 2017 for both providers and the public. It was noted that youth in particular experienced an improvement in their internal locus of control and a sense of safety with THN training. Pharmacists were trained to dispense naloxone kits at community pharmacies, and this laid the foundation for THN kits to eventually be free of charge from pharmacies in BC. High-quality, low-barrier training is available to anyone before or when attending a community pharmacy to obtain a kit (http://naloxonetraining.com). It includes an assessment of understanding and a certificate of completion to ensure standardized training occurs.

By 31 December 2020, more than 900 000 kits had been shipped to 1819 active THN distribution locations across BC. Over 80 000 naloxone kits have been reported as used to reverse an overdose, but this is a considerable underestimate.

Ongoing administrative data collection and research have allowed various aspects of the program to be evaluated. Research was conducted to investigate correlates of THN possession in BC, which was found to be positively associated with male recipients in 2017 and 2018, primarily those aged 31 to 60. More than two-thirds of those who received a kit reported being at risk of overdose. A recent cross-sectional analysis revealed lower rates of THN kit possession among people who use drugs by non-injection routes. However, smoking is the preferred mode of opioid use in BC, and Coroners Service data also revealed the highest proportion of deaths for PWUD are among those who smoked drugs. This disparity reveals the need for increased education on the overdose risk associated with smoking drugs and the importance of having a THN kit to keep friends and community members safe regardless of the mode of drug use.

Demand for THN kits has risen in the past months as the number of overdoses has increased due to COVID-19.

BC’s actions with THN are leading the way for many other provinces. Training, harm reduction, and naloxone administration materials are used across Canada from Alberta to New Brunswick. Understanding the history and barriers to THN program initiation and expansion will help organizations planning to start or expand similar programs. Physicians and medical providers play a huge role in reducing the stigma around drug use. Offering THN kits is an easy way to create safe spaces for clients to discuss drug consumption practices. Medical students, physicians, and other providers should be encouraged to provide training, carry a THN kit, and act as champions to reduce drug-related deaths in their communities.

All individuals who fit the eligibility criteria and are seeking training and a personal THN kit can go to www.towardtheheart.com/site-finder to locate their nearest community site or pharmacy for access. Physicians can also share a list of THN distribution sites with their patients. THN kits are provided at no cost to individuals at risk of experiencing or witnessing overdose, such as family or friends of PWUD. BC physicians can purchase naloxone and supplies required for use in their clinics at their local pharmacy.

Physicians interested in further advocacy and support of this program can contact their regional harm reduction coordinator and/or the BCCDC naloxone program.
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All in 40 years’ work: Differences of opinion

We owe almost all of our knowledge not to those who have agreed but to those that have differed.

—Charles Colton, 1825

Following a substantive review by the Doctors of BC’s Governance Committee, and a report and decision by the Doctors of BC Board, it was announced in January that the subcommittees of the Council on Health Promotion (COHP), including the Emergency and Public Safety Committee (EPSC), will be discontinued in late 2021. The present initiatives and responsibilities of these subcommittees will be refocused into special project groups under COHP. The structure and operational functioning of these groups remains to be determined. We would like to look back on the many initiatives that have been brought forward by the EPSC, previously known as the Emergency Medical Services Committee (EMSC), over its more than 40 years.

From personal recollection, we believe the EMSC has been in existence since at least the 1970s. In the mid-1980s it became a subcommittee of COHP. It has acted as a voice for BC’s physicians on matters of vehicle safety (including alcohol and drug impairment and medical fitness to drive), disaster preparedness, prehospital and trauma care, and opioid overdose prevention services, as well as other special subject areas it has been tasked to address. Over previous decades the subcommittee has established an excellent and constructive working relationship with RoadSafetyBC (previously the Office of the Superintendent of Motor Vehicles), to the benefit of all.

However, the road traveled was not always smooth. There were bumps along the way with various opposing advocacy groups, municipal and provincial governments, and within the association itself. Many programs that originated from the EPSC/EMSC are now taken for granted and proudly referenced. Examples are the introduction of mandatory seatbelts in vehicles, bicycle helmet requirements, excessive-speeding restrictions, impaired driving standards, banning of cellphone-distracted driving, and disaster preparedness at all levels.

That there was vocal opposition to some of this, including from BCMA members and Board appointees, should not be surprising. We observe that nearly all positive public health advances, since the 1854 destruction of Broad Street’s cholera-producing pump, have been initially unpopular with the public, the media of the day, and frequently, the medical profession itself. A candid review of the BCMA/Doctors of BC history would confirm this.

Health advocacy can be controversial and polarizing. Nevertheless, without these healthy internal organizational frictions between zealous physician advocates and eager groups armed with sound scientific evidence to challenge established norms and opinions, little physician-driven health advocacy and social change would have occurred. We believe it is safe to say that the province’s physicians would not presently enjoy their high level of public trust without these lively internal debates and the decisions to move forward.

The authors are both old enough to recall when an education meeting was held the day before each June BCMA AGM. Afternoon presentations from COHP offered an opportunity for members to make health promotion resolutions from the floor. That is how the initiative for bicycle helmets began in 1986. Board member attendance at this portion of the meeting was regrettably low. COHP subcommittees were invited to submit resolutions to be considered by the BC caucus for presentation at each August CMA General Council. There were robust discussions in a special caucus meeting in the month ahead. Caucus members were assigned mover and seconder roles, expected to research and present the motion succinctly (preferably with a bilingual component), and everyone took part in setting priorities.

The CMA disbanded its Health Promotion Committee 6 years ago. After 2017, the BC caucus became much smaller and no longer invited COHP resolutions to convey to the CMA, as the CMA changed its process of receiving policy submissions. Many past CMA policy resolutions originated from the BCMA. Some of these were endorsed and formed national policy, such as the restricted use of hands-free cellular phones, random breath testing, and calls for improvements in hospital disaster preparedness. Other COHP subcommittees and chairs have successfully lobbied for policies to

Continued on page 127
Enhancing care for patients with a history of trauma

A list of books and articles about trauma-informed care, available through the College Library, is provided online at www.cpsbc.ca/files/pdf/Library-Trauma-Informed-Care-Resources.pdf. The books and articles were selected with particular focus on those providing practical recommendations to optimize care for patients who have experienced a traumatic event.

People who have experienced traumatic events may find the health care environment particularly challenging, but they are also at greater risk of having health problems. These resources can assist with the multiple challenges of initiating communication, building trust, and addressing individual needs.

Electronic resources are listed first. Physical books are available for loan and are delivered via Canada Post with free return postage included.

If you would like a list of articles on a specific aspect of trauma-informed care, such as its implementation in a particular setting, or specific to a particular type of trauma, request a literature search via this online form: www.cpsbc.ca/literature-search-requests.

—Niki Baumann
Librarian
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restrict tobacco advertising (Bill C-51), pursued improved nutrition standards, promoted improved health through physical activity, and addressed the issues of healthy aging and preventing frailty.

Voices calling for change will always originate as dissenting voices. Constructive avenues for transformation must remain open in any organization or it risks having change forced upon it. We trust that any new health advocacy configuration, and the projects that evolve from COHP, will ensure sufficient member and subject-expert participation, and that the kinds of successful initiatives of the COHP’s previous subcommittees will lead to further advancements and healthy societal change.

—Chris Rumball, MD
—Ian Gillespie, MD

Doctors Helping Doctors
24 hrs/day, 7 days/week

The Physician Health Program of British Columbia offers help 24/7 to B.C. doctors and their families for a wide range of personal and professional problems: physical, psychological and social.

If something is on your mind, give us a call at 1-800-663-6729 or visit www.physicianhealth.com.

Follow us on Twitter for regular updates

Follow us on Twitter for regular updates
News

We welcome news items of less than 300 words; we may edit them for clarity and length. News items should be emailed to journal@doctorsofbc.ca and must include your mailing address, telephone number, and email address. All writers should disclose any competing interests.

Resources to support transgender patients

Trans Care BC has created resources to help family physicians provide care to transgender and gender-diverse patients. Online education, medical forms, clinical resources, and patient materials are available at www.phsa.ca/transcarebc/health-professionals. The Trans Care BC care coordination team (for patients and providers) is accessible at 1 866 999-1514 or transcareteam@phsa.ca.

2020 Joule Innovation Grant winners from BC

Joule (a subsidiary of the CMA) selected 12 recipients for its 2020 Innovation Grants. The recipients are CMA members from across Canada and will share $500,000 in funding to develop or expand their projects. For more information on the grant program and all of this year’s recipients, visit https://joulecma.ca/innovate/grants.

Dr Melissa Lem is a Vancouver family physician and a clinical assistant professor in the University of British Columbia’s Faculty of Medicine. She is also director of the BC Parks Foundation’s Parks Prescriptions (PaRx) program, Canada’s first national, evidence-based nature prescription program. The program is driven

Income replacement benefits due to COVID-19

Doctors of BC members have been heavily impacted by the global pandemic caused by the COVID-19 outbreak, working on the front line to help the public while dealing with the prospect of getting sick themselves. There are several options available to physicians (and MOAs) who are seeking an income replacement benefit during a period of illness resulting from COVID-19.

Physicians enrolled in the government-funded physicians’ disability insurance (PDI) can access sickness benefits under regular disability certificate provisions, but can also access income benefits if quarantining due to an exposure, whether exposed to the virus inside or outside their occupation. There is a maximum of 14 days available under quarantine claims for PDI (nontaxable). For physicians with Disability INCOMEprotect or Professional Expense Insurance, benefits are available after completing the elimination period (continuous period of sickness required) for those with a positive or presumptive positive test for COVID-19. If these options do not apply and physicians are quarantining due to an occupational exposure, the negotiated quarantine income replacement (QIR) benefit is available. The QIR will pay for a maximum of 2 weeks in the amount of $3050 (taxable). This benefit is available multiple times if a physician faces new exposures and has to requarantine.

MOAs may have some disability insurance if enrolled in the Doctors of BC Health Benefits Trust Fund Plan, which can pay benefits after 17 weeks of continuous illness following a positive COVID-19 test. MOAs may also qualify for the Canada Recovery Sickness Benefit for periods of self-isolation or employment insurance sickness benefits for up to 15 weeks at 55% of earnings up to a maximum of $573 a week following a positive test or forced quarantine following an exposure.

Physicians are encouraged to review their coverage options every 3 to 5 years or upon a life event to ensure they are equipped with the right insurance for their needs. For more information on available benefits or to speak with a licensed insurance advisor about options, contact the Insurance Department at insurance@doctorsofbc.ca or 604 638-2904.

—Sam Morris, Insurance Administration Manager, Members’ Products and Services, Doctors of BC
by health care professionals who want to improve their patients' health by connecting them to nature.

Dr Lem has been awarded a $50,000 grant, in the Sustainable Health Care category, which will allow her to scale PaRx across Canada, build the web application, develop and implement a climate points system for a related app, and create a CME-accredited online module to train prescribers to effectively prescribe nature. A key feature of the program is an app that will incentivize and track time spent in nature, and pair patients with nature experiences with the aim of reducing their stress, anxiety, and depression, and engage them in preventing and mitigating the effects of climate change. Health care providers who register with PaRx will be able to prescribe nature-based activities like planting trees, growing food, or taking part in watershed restoration projects with the aim of improving their patients’ mental health.

Dr Lem is also president-elect of the Canadian Association of Physicians for the Environment, a widely published writer, and an experienced media personality. She has been researching, writing, and speaking about the connection between nature and health for more than a decade.

For more information about PaRx, visit www.parkprescriptions.ca.

It can also offer a more effective treatment that does not require surgery, decreasing a patient’s involvement with the health care system.

Dr Cherukupalli was awarded a $10,000 grant in the Emerging Physician Innovators category. The funds will help him and his team incorporate Tractus Medical, fund prototype development and manufacturing costs of the device, apply for a provisional patent, and apply for Health Canada approval to start clinical trials.

For more information about Tractus Medical, visit https://ca.linkedin.com/company/tractus-medical.

Dr Gregory Schmidt completed his general internal medicine fellowship at the University of British Columbia. He spent 2 years designing health care systems with Indiana University and AMPATH (Academic Model Providing Access to Healthcare) in rural Kenya. There he helped lead the redesign of OpenMRS, the largest open-source electronic health record system used in low- and middle-income countries.

Dr Schmidt won a $50,000 grant for his work with Bodo Health, a software startup and a virtual clinic that offers in-home speech, language, and voice services. In 8 months, Bodo Health moved from concept and research to a fully functional beta-clinic operating on its own telehealth and electronic medical record, and it is now expanding its services to four provinces.

Every year, more than 60,000 Canadians have a stroke, and stroke patients require a dedicated set of telehealth technologies for their assessment and rehabilitation. The grant, awarded in the Access to Care category, will allow Bodo Health to build tools for virtual post-stroke and neuro-rehab speech services. It will also allow Dr Schmidt and his multidisciplinary team to research, design, prototype, test, and build an integrated online stroke speech-language system; design digital tools and resources to help with face-to-face teletherapy appointments; and hire a senior researcher to study Bodo’s care model for its effectiveness, cost, and safety.

For more information about Bodo Health, visit www.bodohealth.com.

Are you receiving your Business Cost Premium payments?

Doctors of BC is encouraging eligible community-based doctors to confirm they are receiving the Business Cost Premium (BCP). Effective April 2020, the BCP provides a percentage premium on MSP fees for in-person consultation, visit, counseling, and complete examination services to help cover the rising rent, lease, or ownership costs of a community-based office.

Register now

Eligible BC doctors who have not yet registered for the BCP are encouraged to do so. Doctors who meet the following criteria are eligible:

- You are responsible for some or all of the rent, lease, or ownership costs of a community-based office, either directly or indirectly.
- Your community-based office is in an eligible geographical location (e.g., Metro Vancouver, Greater Victoria). Rural Retention Program (RRP) communities are not eligible; however, the Joint Standing Committee on Rural Issues is working on how it may direct funds to address this issue in rural areas.
- You are entitled to receive and retain payment for the eligible fees directly from MSP (i.e., payments assigned to health authorities are not eligible for the premium).

One month after its launch, the BCP was expanded to include telehealth (phone and video) fee items for consultation, visit, counseling, and complete examination services. This temporary measure ensures that eligible physicians can continue to access the premium during the pandemic, given these services would have normally been provided in person in physicians’ offices.

You may register at any time. Visit www.doctorsofbc.ca/business-cost-premium for information and the application for registration.
If you are registered but not receiving payment

BC doctors who have registered for the BCP but are unsure if they are receiving payment are asked to double check their remittance statement. For doctors who have registered for the BCP, the payment will be listed in the adjustment area of the remittance statement [see Figure].

The most common reason for not receiving payment after registration is missing information on the billing claim: specifically, the BCP facility number assigned to the physician’s community-based office. It is essential that the BCP facility number be included on each submitted billing claim for the Teleplan system to apply the correct percentage premium for each location. Please note that inclusion of the facility number on billing claims may not be automated with some billing software.

Doctors who still have concerns about their BCP payment are encouraged to phone Health Insurance BC (HIBC) for assistance. HIBC administers the BCP on behalf of the Medical Services Plan and offers dedicated phone support: Vancouver: 604 456-6950; elsewhere in BC: 1 866 456-6950. Upon request, HIBC can also provide approval for doctors to resubmit eligible claims to receive retroactive BCP payment.

If you have questions about the information in this story, email economics@doctorsofbc.ca.

Vaccine toolkit for physicians

Doctors of BC has developed an information toolkit to support doctors and their teams in conversations with patients about COVID-19 vaccines. The toolkit includes:

- Scripts for voicemails and websites.
- Documents for patients on vaccine effectiveness and safety (available for downloading and printing).
- Office posters.
- Links to share with patients.

The toolkit is available at www.doctorsofbc.ca/covid-19-vaccine-office-toolkit. It will be updated regularly as new products become available. If you have suggestions for further developments, email covid19@doctorsofbc.ca.

For patients with concussions: MyGuide from Vancouver Coastal Health

The online resource MyGuide: Concussion is designed to help adults manage and recover from concussions. The guide was developed by a team of experienced clinicians and content experts, and includes content on:

- Concussion symptoms
- Concussion recovery basics
- Returning to activities
- Concussion self-management
- Navigating the health care system
- Participating in research

The MyGuide Concussion website helps users design a customized guide for their recovery and to track their progress. For more information, visit https://concussion.vch.ca.
New episode of DocTalks: Physician burnout during COVID-19

Reports of physician burnout are increasing as BC doctors work to meet the unprecedented demands generated by the COVID-19 pandemic. But what does burnout look like? How do you recognize the early warning signs so you can take steps to prevent it? And where do doctors go for help?

In the latest episode of DocTalks, psychiatrist Dr Jennifer Russel and family doctor Dr Lawrence Yang share their perspectives about how burnout affects doctors, how to recognize it, and what steps to take to minimize the impact. From deploying personal coping strategies to implementing leadership and QI methodology and advocating for system-wide enhancements, they share the methods they’ve adopted—in their personal and professional lives—to stay well, and discuss what supports are available for doctors. DocTalks is available for download on all podcast platforms, and on the Doctors of BC website at www.doctorsofbc.ca/tags/doctalks.

NEW EPISODE
Burnout and COVID-19:
Warning signs and when to act

with guests
Dr Jennifer Russel and Dr Lawrence Yang

Do you have an idea?

Send your writing to the BCMJ

The BCMJ is written by physicians like you.

We welcome your contributions, from letters to scientific papers and everything in between.

What’s in between? Blog posts, articles, essays, profiles, the Proust questionnaire, and more.

Not sure if we’ll be interested? Email us to enquire: journal@doctorsofbc.ca.

Much of the BCMJ’s content is selected by our Editorial Board, a group of eight physicians from diverse backgrounds, practice types, and locations.

Guidelines: bcmj.org/submit-article
Contact us: journal@doctorsofbc.ca, 604 638-2815
Obituaries  
We welcome original tributes of less than 300 words; we may edit them for clarity and length. Obituaries may be emailed to journal@doctorsofbc.ca. Include birth and death dates, full name and name deceased was best known by, key hospital and professional affiliations, relevant biographical data, and a high-resolution head-and-shoulders photo.

Dr Leanne Dahlgren  
1972–2020

It is with both great honor and deep sadness that we remember the life of Dr Leanne Dahlgren, who passed away unexpectedly on 21 December 2020. She was a devoted mother and wife, compassionate and skilled physician, scholar, valued mentor, and a dear friend and treasured colleague at BC Women’s Hospital.

Leanne was born in August 1972 and grew up in Sturgis, Saskatchewan, a typical agricultural prairie town of 600. She always stayed true to her humble, friendly, and honest prairie roots, and her small-town sense of community. From an early age, Leanne wanted to be a doctor, which, coming from a small town, seemed a far goal to reach. But reach it she did, going from undergraduate sciences to medical school and an obstetrics and gynecology residency at the University of Saskatchewan. There she met the love of her life, Paul Scott, whom she would marry and have a family with.

In 2001, Leanne began her maternal fetal medicine fellowship at BC Women’s Hospital and a Master of Health Sciences in Epidemiology at UBC, completing them in 2004. She won several awards for her research work, including the 2005 S. Stewart Murray prize for best final paper of graduates from MHSc, and a Strategic Training Initiative in Research in the Reproductive Health Sciences CIHR/Health Canada scholarship, among many others. Her first CIHR grant as a principal investigator soon followed.

From this foundation she was inspired to a career in medicine that provided both the art and science of our profession at the highest levels. Her passion and dedication for delivering the art of medicine (caring, compassionate, empathic, and women-centric care) was matched only by her rigorous adherence to the science of evidence-based medicine, as seen by her work with the Canadian Preterm Birth and Canadian Neonatal Networks, among others.

Equally important to Leanne was teaching and mentorship—she was known for her enthusiasm and excellence in teaching, particularly at the resident and fellowship levels. In recognition of her dedication and excellence, she was awarded a Council on Resident Education in Obstetrics and Gynecology award in 2011. She also taught across the entire spectrum of health care providers, and her enormous influence on those whom she taught is demonstrated by the large number of emails and cards we received from places like the Middle East, UK, Israel, and across Canada.

Helping others by modeling and mentoring was important to Leanne; she felt first-hand the challenges of balancing work in an academic tertiary care centre with her roles of wife, mother, friend, colleague, clinician, and scholar. However, it was clear to all that Leanne’s family was her first priority. Her son, Ronan, was born in 2006 after a pregnancy where she experienced the full gamut of emotions from stress and anxiety to awe and wonderment. Her daughter, Elin, followed in 2011. Those experiences inspired and motivated Leanne to improve the pregnancy experience for all women, evidenced by her involvement on committees promoting a safe and natural birth.

The third member of her brood was a Bouvier des Flandres dog, Jasper. All three were a source of great delight for Leanne and Paul, generating endless tales of laughter and joy, which she shared with all. Wherever she was, whatever she was doing, Leanne’s family was never far from her thoughts. We know that Leanne would be proud of them at this difficult time, and be comforted by the knowledge that she and Paul raised their children well with their love, support, and guiding hands.

Dr Leanne Dahlgren shall be truly missed. She was the best of evidence-based medicine combined with compassion, kindness, gentleness, and a warm smile.

—Nancy Kent, MD  
Vancouver
—Sayrin Lalji, MD  
West Vancouver
—Kenneth Lim, MD  
Vancouver

Dr James Wilson Grahame  
1939–2020

It is with much sadness that I report the peaceful passing of Dr James Wilson Grahame in Victoria, British Columbia, on 6 November 2020. Wilson was much loved by his family and colleagues. He was born on 13 February 1939 in Maghera, Northern Ireland, and is survived by his loving wife, Jane, and their blended family
Dr George R. Gray
1932–2021

Hematology was in its infancy as a specialty in BC when George entered the field in 1962. As one of the first academic hematopathologists in Canada, George mentored close to 20 trainees, who either currently direct the hospital and private labs in this province or have already retired. And there were many more foreign students, general pathologists, and clinical hematologists who benefited from his guidance. He championed the concepts of excellence in laboratory practice, with attention to administrative detail, the responsibility to teach, the value of research, and close collaboration with the technical staff. Following his early years in Montreal and Kingston, George graduated from Queen’s Medical School in 1957 and came to BC to complete his pathology residency at Shaughnessy Hospital. He was a fellow student of Drs David Hardwick, Hugh Pontifex, Earl Shepherd, and Donald Rix, all of whom became distinguished leaders in academic pathology, and regional and private laboratories.

He joined Dr Wally Thomas at Vancouver General Hospital, determined to further develop the nascent field of hematopathology, and became the go-to guy in the province for difficult blood film and bone marrow interpretation. He later focussed his research interests describing rare local abnormal hemoglobins (i.e., Hbs Vancouver and Lulu Island).

Both he and Wally valued a close interaction between the lab diagnostic and the clinical applications of hematology, and early on welcomed Dr Shelly Naiman who expanded the diagnostic coagulation lab, and me, who came to lead the blood transfusion program and then Dr Ted Reeve, who helped develop the transplant immunology program. Among the others recruited by George for the VGH lab were Drs Jorge Denegri, Paul Keown, Cedric Carter, Randy Gascoyne, Bakul Dalal, and Monika Hudoba. Later, more of his protégés, such as Drs Deborah Griswold, Robert Coupland, and David Pi, returned to make contributions to this lab. George seemed to find great pleasure as the reliable straightman for Shelly Naiman’s jibes, and annually we all eagerly awaited his appearance in a seersucker suit as the harbinger of summer.

George headed the division from 1981 to 1998. Following retirement at the hospital he served as a medical consultant at Canadian Blood Services and was appointed as clinical professor emeritus at UBC. As a committee man, he helped establish the Royal College Examination Board in Hematopathogy and the BC Association of Lab Physicians.

Traveling became an even more important part of his life after retirement as he continued teaching with colleagues in China, India, and South America, and as a result further honed his taste for very spicy food. George was predeceased by his wife, Sylvia, and is survived by his children, Ian and Katherine; their partners; his brothers; and those of us fortunate enough to remember this very good friend and valuable colleague.

—Jerry Growe, MD
Vancouver
(With assistance from Ian and Katherine Gray)

Dr Arnold George Lowden
1927–2020

Arnold was a prairie boy at heart. Born in Regina and raised in Yorkton, Saskatchewan, his mother, Lorene, was a homemaker and his father, Stan, an accountant. He played hockey for the University of Saskatchewan Huskies during his undergraduate studies, and completed his medical degree at the University of Toronto in 1951. Interning at St. Paul’s Hospital in Vancouver, he met Charmaine Gruchy, a young nurse from West Vancouver. They married in 1952, with fellow intern Dr C.E. McDonnell and Dr Peter Marr at his side.

Arnold initially practised in Yorkton, where he coached the Junior B hockey team to the 1953 provincial championship. He and Charmaine later moved to Gull Lake, where Arnold worked alongside Dr John Matheson, who became a lifelong friend. In 1958, he obtained his diploma in public health in Toronto, returning to the Tisdale region as public health officer. Arnold resumed general practice in Moose Jaw in 1960. He always enjoyed the provincial medical curling bonspiels.
In 1966, Arnold joined the R.B. White Clinic in Penticton, BC. He became a school trustee, was team doctor and part owner of the Junior A Broncos, and president of the BC Junior Hockey League.

He became the medical health officer for the East Kootenays in 1975. He quickly initiated an alcohol and drug counseling service, which became the East Kootenay Addiction Services Society, and was named director emeritus for 30 years of outstanding service. Arnold served on many boards and committees, including the AIDS Network Kootenay Outreach and Support Society (ANKORS), and the EK Union Board of Health.

Arnold was deeply involved in the Cranbrook community. He served as chair of the school board (and on the provincial council), as Cranbrook Community Theatre board member (with several supporting theatrical roles!), and, alongside Charmaine, as an active member of First Baptist Church. He was a director with the Cranbrook Royals Hockey Club and a strong supporter of the Kootenay Ice. Arnold was an avid golfer and curler, and loved singing with the Kootenay Harmony Chorus.

Arnold retired from public health in 1992, but assisted at surgeries for 5 more years. In retirement, he faithfully delivered school lunches for the Salvation Army, imparting many kind words along the way. He enjoyed time spent with friends and family, and remained active in the community. His decades of willing service and leadership improving the health of the community were recognized in 2008, when he was declared Citizen of the Year by the Chamber of Commerce.

The staff and residents of Kootenay Street Village became Arnold's new family when long-term care visits were not possible this year. He passed away peacefully with family members at his side—an honorable end to a life well lived. Arnold was predeceased by his parents and sister, Marjorie. He is survived by his wife, Charmaine, and their three children, seven grandchildren, and six great-grandchildren.

—Keith G. Lowden, MD
Cranbrook
—Launny R. Lowden, MD, FRCP
Cranbrook

Recently deceased physicians
If a BC physician you knew well is recently deceased, please consider submitting an obituary. Include the deceased’s dates of birth and death, full name and the name the deceased was best known by, key hospital and professional affiliations, relevant biographical data, and a high-resolution photo. Please limit your submission to a maximum of 500 words. Send the content and photo by e-mail to journal@doctorsofbc.ca.
New agreement between Doctors of BC and WorkSafeBC

Recent negotiations between WorkSafeBC and Doctors of BC have resulted in a new agreement, which recognizes the importance of the work and time put in by physicians to help injured workers. Here are some of the big changes to note from the new agreement, valid from 1 April 2019 to 31 March 2022.

In Year 1, there is a rate increase to specific WorkSafeBC fee codes. In Years 2 and 3, a 2.3% rate increase will be applied to all WorkSafeBC fee codes. There are also increases to the expedited surgery premium (ESP) for surgeries. Please refer to the new fee schedule for more information.

The family doctor of an injured worker will receive the Form 8 fee even if the injured worker previously saw a doctor at a walk-in clinic or hospital. The agreement recognizes that family physicians have a better understanding of their patient’s health history and that they can provide insight that other physicians may not. You will receive a financial bonus. Offering a financial incentive should result in physicians sending their assessments sooner, which will in turn create a WorkSafeBC claim faster.

As part of this agreement, WorkSafeBC can no longer request unsevered medical records. When requesting a copy of an existing report, such as a consult report or an imaging report, clinics can bill fee code 19904. This is a flat fee regardless of the number of pages sent. A new fee code has been created (19959) for use if WorkSafeBC requests a copy of a Form 11. This fee code has the same rate as fee code 19940 (the code for electronically sending Form 11). When you receive a request for severed medical records, you can continue to bill fee code 19953. If you receive the request but your clinic has no files to send as a result, your clinic can still invoice the fee code for severed medical records.

Billing for WorkSafeBC-related telephone calls has been simplified. If there is a phone call or office consultation between a treating physician and either a WorkSafeBC officer, a medical advisor, a WorkSafeBC-sponsored treatment program physician, a community physician, and/or a community allied health provider, your clinic should bill fee code 19930. This new agreement has eliminated fee codes 19919 and 19508.

For surgeons, a new zero dollar fee code (19326) has been created to allow them to start the 40-day clock for ESP. A surgeon can bill this new fee code after receiving approval for submitting Form 83D6, Authorization Request for Surgery. If code 19326 is not billed, surgeons can still use fee codes 19911, 19912, and 19908 to start the 40-day clock for ESP.

For more details on these changes and the new rates, please refer to the new Doctors of BC agreement with WorkSafeBC at www.worksafebc.com/en/resources/health-care-providers/guides/physicians-and-surgeons—worksafebc-services-agreement. Remember to invoice WorkSafeBC within 90 days of the date of treatment to prevent any delays in processing payments. Should you require assistance, please call WorkSafeBC Payment Services at 604 276-3085 or toll free at 1 888 422-2228. You can also arrange a learning opportunity through our accredited academic detailing program by calling 1 855 476-3049 or emailing MedicalServicesEvents@worksafebc.com.

—Ernest Salcedo
WorkSafeBC Health Care Services Client Representative

This article is the opinion of WorkSafeBC and has not been peer reviewed by the BCMJ Editorial Board.
Guidelines for authors

The British Columbia Medical Journal is a general medical journal that seeks to continue the education of physicians through review articles, scientific research, and updates on contemporary clinical practices while providing a forum for medical debate. Several times a year, the BCMJ presents a theme issue devoted to a particular discipline or disease entity.

We welcome letters, blog posts, articles, and scientific papers from physicians in British Columbia and elsewhere. Manuscripts should not have been submitted to any other publication. Articles are subject to copyediting and editorial revisions, but authors remain responsible for statements in the work, including editorial changes; for accuracy of references; and for obtaining permissions. The corresponding author of scientific articles will be asked to check page proofs for accuracy.

The BCMJ endorse the “Recommendations for the Conduct, Reporting, Editing, and Publication of Scholarly Work in Medical Journals” by the International Committee of Medical Journal Editors (updated December 2016), and encourages authors to review the complete text of that document at www.icmje.org.

All materials must be submitted electronically, preferably in Word, to:
The Editor
BC Medical Journal
E-mail: journal@doctorsofbc.ca
Tel: 604 638-2815
Web: www.bcmj.org

Editorial process
Letters to the editor, articles, and scientific papers must be reviewed and accepted by the BCMJ’s eight-member Editorial Board prior to publication. The Board normally meets the last Friday of every month, at which time submissions are distributed for review the following month. The Board does not acknowledge receipt of submissions; the editor will contact authors of articles by email once the submission has been reviewed by the Board (usually within 8 to 10 weeks of submission). The general criteria for acceptance include accuracy, relevance to practising BC physicians, validity, originality, and clarity. The editor contacts authors to inform them whether the paper has been rejected, conditionally accepted (that is, accepted with revisions), or accepted as submitted. Authors of letters are contacted only if the letter is accepted and editorial staff need further information. Scientific papers and other articles typically take 5 to 10 months from the date of receipt to publication, depending on how quickly authors provide revisions and on the backlog of papers scheduled for publication. Manuscripts are returned only on request. The BCMJ is posted for free access on our website.

For all submissions
☐ Avoid unnecessary formatting, as we strip all formatting from manuscripts.
☐ Double-space all parts of all submissions.
☐ Include your name, relevant degrees, email address, and phone number.
☐ Number all pages consecutively.

Opinions
BCMD2B (medical student page). An article on any medicine-related topic by a BC physician-in-training. Less than 2000 words. The BCMJ also welcomes student submissions of letters and scientific/clinical articles. BCMD2B and student-written clinical articles are eligible for an annual $1000 medical student writing prize.
Blog. A short, timely piece for online publication on bcmj.org. Less than 500 words. Submissions on any health-related topic will be considered. Should be current, contain links to related and source content, and be written in a conversational tone.
Letters. All letters must be signed, and may be edited for brevity. Letters not addressed to the Editor of the BCMJ (that is, letters copied to us) will not be published. Letters commenting on an article or letter published in the BCMJ must reach us within 6 months of the article or letter’s appearance. No more than three authors. Less than 300 words.
Point-Counterpoint. Essays presenting two opposing viewpoints; at least one is usually solicited by the BCMJ. Less than 2000 words each.
Premise. Essays on any medicine-related topic; may or may not be referenced. Less than 2000 words.
Proust for Physicians. A lighthearted questionnaire about you. Submit responses online at www.surveymonkey.com/s/proust-questionnaire, print a copy from the BCMJ website at www.bcmj.org/proust-questionnaire, or contact journal@doctorsofbc.ca or 604 638-2858.
Special Feature. Articles, stories, history, or any narrative that doesn’t fit elsewhere in the BCMJ. Less than 2000 words.

Departments
Obituaries. Include birth and death dates, full name and name deceased was best known by, key hospital and professional affiliations, relevant biographical data, and photo. Less than 500 words.

News. A miscellany of short news items, announcements, requests for study participants, notices, and so on. Submit suggestions or text to journal@doctorsofbc.ca or call 604 638-2858 to discuss. Less than 300 words.

Clinical articles/case reports/survey studies
Manuscripts of scientific/clinical articles and case reports should be 2000 to 4000 words in length, including tables and references. The first page of the manuscript should carry the following:
☐ Title, and subtitle, if any.
☐ Preferred given name or initials and last name for each author, with relevant academic degrees.
☐ All authors’ professional/institutional affiliations, sufficient to provide the basis for an author note such as: “Dr Smith is an associate professor in the Department of Obstetrics and Gynaecology at the University of British Columbia and a staff gynecologist at Vancouver Hospital.”
☐ A structured or unstructured abstract of no more than 150 words. If structured, the preferred headings are “Background,” “Methods,” “Results,” and “Conclusions.”
☐ Three key words or short phrases to assist in indexing.
☐ Disclaimers, if any.
☐ Name, address, telephone number, and email address of corresponding author.

Survey studies must have a response rate of at least 50% in order for the paper to be reviewed for publication consideration. Papers with less than this response rate will not be reviewed by the BCMJ Editorial Board. We recognize that it is not always possible to achieve this rate, so you may ask the Editor in advance to waive this rule, and if the circumstances warrant it, the Editor may agree to have the paper reviewed.

Authorship, copyright, disclosure, and consent form
When submitting a clinical/scientific/review paper, all authors must complete the BCMJ’s four-part “Authorship, copyright, disclosure, and consent form.”

1. Authorship. All authors must certify in writing that they qualify as an author of the paper. To be considered an author, an individual must meet all three conditions:
☐ Made substantial contributions to the conception and design, acquisition of data, or analysis and interpretation of data, and...
References to unpublished material

These may include articles that have been read at a meeting or symposium but have not been published, or material accepted for publication but not yet published (in press). Examples:


Personal communications are not included in the reference list, but may be cited in the text, with type of communication (oral or written) communicant’s full name, affiliation, and date (e.g., oral communication with H.E. Marmon, director, BC Centre for Disease Control, 12 November 2007).

Material submitted for publication but not accepted should not be included.

Permissions

It is the author’s responsibility to obtain written permission from both author and publisher for material, including figures and tables, taken or adapted from other sources. Permissions should accompany the article when submitted.

Scientific misconduct

Should possible scientific misconduct or dishonesty in research submitted for review by the BCMJ be suspected or alleged, we reserve the right to forward any submitted manuscript to the sponsoring or funding institution or other appropriate authority for investigation. We recognize our responsibility to ensure that the question is appropriately pursued, but do not undertake the actual investigation or make determinations of misconduct.

Tables and figures

Tables and figures should supplement the text, not duplicate it. Keep length and number of tables and figures to a minimum. Include a descriptive title and units of measure for each table and figure. Obtain permission and acknowledge the source fully if you use data or figures from another published or unpublished source.

Tables. Please adhere to the following guidelines:

- Submit tables electronically as Word or Excel files so that they may be formatted for style.
- Number tables consecutively in the order of their first citation in the text and supply a brief title for each.
- Place explanatory matter in footnotes, not in the heading.
- Explain all nonstandard abbreviations in footnotes.
- Ensure each table is cited in the text.

Figures (illustrations). Please adhere to the following guidelines:

- Images must be high resolution; if unsure, send highest resolution possible and we will advise if necessary.
- Number figures consecutively in the order of their first citation in the text and supply a brief title for each.
- Place titles and explanations in legends, not in or on the illustrations themselves.
- Provide internal scale markers for photomicrographs.
- Ensure each figure is cited in the text.
- Color is not normally available, but if it is necessary, an exception may be considered.

Units

Report measurements of length, height, weight, and volume in metric units. Give temperatures in degrees Celsius and blood pressures in millimetres of mercury. Report hematologic and clinical chemistry measurements in the metric system according to the International System of Units (SI).

Abbreviations

Except for units of measure, we discourage abbreviations. However, if a small number are necessary, use standard abbreviations only, preceded by the full name at first mention, e.g., in vitro fertilization (IVF). Avoid abbreviations in the title and abstract.

Drug names

Use generic drug names. Use lowercase for generic names, uppercase for brand names, e.g., venlafaxine hydrochloride (Effexor). Drugs not yet available in Canada should be so noted.

Reprints

Reprint order forms will be sent to authors upon publication of the article. If you know that you would like additional copies prior to printing, please advise us and we can arrange a larger print run.

Manuscript submission checklist

Before you submit your paper, please ensure you have completed the following, or your paper could be returned:

- Authorship, copyright, disclosure, and consent form is completed and included (available at www.bcmj.org).
- Abstract is provided.
- Three key words are provided.
- Author information is provided for all authors.
- References in text are in correct numerical order.
- Reference list is in correct numerical order and is complete.
- References list contains up to three authors only.
- All figures and tables are supplied.
- Permissions letters are included.
**CME calendar**

**Rates:** $75 for up to 1000 characters (maximum) plus GST per month; there is no partial rate. If the course or event is over before an issue of the BCMJ comes out, there is no discount. **Deadlines:** ONLINE: Every Thursday (listings are posted every Friday). PRINT: The first of the month 1 month prior to the issue in which you want your notice to appear; e.g., 1 February for the March issue. The BCMJ is distributed by second-class mail in the second week of each month except January and August. **Planning your CME listing:** Advertising your CME event several months in advance can help improve attendance; we suggest that your ad be posted 2 to 4 months prior to the event. **Ordering:** Place your ad at www bcmj.org/ cme-advertising. You will be invoiced upon publication. Payment is accepted by Visa or MasterCard on our secure online payment site.

---

**PSYCHOLOGICAL PPE, PEER SUPPORT BEYOND COVID-19**

**Online (Wednesdays)**

In response to physician feedback, the Physician Health Program’s online drop-in peer support sessions (established 7 April 2020) are now permanently scheduled for Wednesdays at 12 noon. The weekly sessions are cofacilitated by psychiatrist, Dr Jennifer Russel, and manager of clinical services, Roxanne Joyce, and are drop-in with no commitment required. The focus is peer support, not psychiatric care. All participants have the option to join anonymously. To learn more about the sessions and the program, visit www bcmj.org/news-covid-19/ psychological-ppe-peer-support-beyond-covid-19. Email peersupport@physicianhealth.com for the link to join by phone or video.

---

**6TH ANNUAL BC INFECTIOUS DISEASES SYMPOSIUM**

**Online, 30 April–1 May 2021**

Join us for this 6th annual conference, brought to you by Fraser Health, UBC, Surrey Memorial Hospital, and Vancouver Fraser Medical Program. Sessions start at 8 a.m. on 30 April. Day 1 topics include H. pylori: Who We Should Treat and Who We Shouldn’t; Pitfalls in Ordering Microbiology Tests; Updates in the Management of Community-Acquired Pneumonia in the Time of COVID; Updates on COVID-19; Update on HIV and Prep and PEP; Non-Pulmonary Tuberculosis; COVID-19 in Children. Day 2 topics include in-depth case discussions of the presented Day 1 topics, and run from 8:00 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. Accredited by UBC CPD. To register and for more information visit: https://ubccpd.ca/id2021 or email cpd.info@ubc.ca.

---

**MINDFULNESS IN MEDICINE WORKSHOPS AND RETREAT**

**23–26 April, 21–26 May 2021**

Please join us for one of these workshops/retreats focusing on the theory and practice of mindfulness-based stress management for physicians and other health professionals. These powerful and popular programs offer practical skills to navigate the stresses and challenges of our work in order to prevent burnout and build resilience and wellness into our personal and professional lives. All of the programs will take place in person with protocols respecting current public health recommendations. Mindfulness in Medicine, Foundations of theory and practice for physicians and partners, will be held at Long Beach Resort in Tofino, 23–26 April. Mindfulness in Medicine, a meditation retreat for physicians, will be held at Hollyhock on Cortes Island, 21–26 May. To find out more, or to register, please contact Dr Mark Sherman at mark@livingthismoment.ca or go to www.livingthismoment.ca/events.

---

**CME ON THE RUN**

**Online, 7 May & 4 June 2021 (Fridays)**

The CME on the Run sessions are offered online. Registrants will receive links to go online before each session. Each program runs on Friday afternoons from 1 p.m. to 5 p.m. and includes great speakers and learning materials. Topics and dates: 7 May 2021 (Geriatrics). Topics include Deprescribing: Declutter the Medicine Cabinet, Preventing Falls in Older Adults, Seniors and Substance Use, Innovative Approaches to Dementia Management, Cancer Screening: When Can We Stop, Doc?, Cognitive Testing in the Family Practice Office: Which Tools to Use?, COVID-19 in the Elderly: Lessons from 2020, The Aging Immune System & Considerations for Immunization After Age 50. The final session is on 4 June (Internal Medicine). To register and for more information visit https://ubccpd.ca/course/cme-on-the-run-2020-2021 or email cpd.info@ubc.ca.

---

**GP IN ONCOLOGY EDUCATION**

**13–24 September 2021 (Mon–Fri)**

BC Cancer’s Family Practice Oncology Network offers an 8-week General Practitioner in Oncology education program beginning with a 2-week introductory session every spring and fall at BC Cancer–Vancouver. This program provides an opportunity for rural family physicians, with the support of their community, to strengthen their oncology skills so that they can provide enhanced care for local cancer patients and their families. Following the introductory session, participants complete a further 30 days of clinic experience at the cancer centre where their patients are referred. These are scheduled flexibly over 6 months. Participants who complete the program are eligible for credits from the College of Family Physicians of Canada. Those who are REAP-eligible receive a stipend and expense coverage through UBC’s Enhanced Skills Program. For more information or to apply, visit www.fp on.ca, or contact Jennifer Wolfe at 604 219-9579.
**Classifieds** Advertisements are limited to 700 characters. Rates: Doctors of BC members: $50 + GST per month for each insertion of up to 350 characters. $75 + GST for insertions of 351 to 700 characters. Nonmembers: $60 + GST per month for each insertion of up to 350 characters. $90 + GST for insertions of 351 to 700 characters. Deadlines: Ads must be submitted or canceled by the first of the month preceding the month of publication, e.g., by 1 November for December publication. Visit www.bcmj.org/classified-advertising for more information. Ordering: Place your classified ad online at www.bcmj.org/classified-advertising. Payment is required at the time that you place the ad.

**PRACTICES AVAILABLE**

**VANCOUVER—PRACTICE AVAILABLE**
Fairview Plastic Surgery and Skin Care Centre is for sale due to retirement of its owner. Great opportunity to own and continue running a prestigious and well-established esthetic plastic surgery centre in Vancouver. Fully equipped and ideally set up for an esthetician, an esthetic injector, and one or two physicians. Inquiries to 604 500-4357.

**EMPLOYMENT**

**BURNABY/METROTOWN—SPECIALISTS TO JOIN OUR RAPIDLY GROWING TEAM**
Join our eight GPs and two internists at Imperial Medical Clinic. Flexible F/T or P/T schedules to suit your needs with in-person and/or virtual consults. Patient base of over 15 000 and growing. Young, progressive, collegial team. Brand new clinic. Great place to start practice without cost of opening your own office. All specialties considered. Call Dr Pav Kaliray at 778 822-1981.

**CANADA—ARE YOU A PHYSICIAN LOOKING FOR A NEW ROLE?**
Locum, long-term, city, or rural—we have it all. Whether you are a physician looking for work across Canada, or a medical facility requiring physicians, our friendly recruitment team at Physicians for You can help. Your time is valuable. Let our dedicated years of experience in Canada and extensive knowledge of licensure processes work for you. Our strong reputation is built on exceptional service and results. Check out our current job postings on our website and call the trusted recruitment team today. Visit our website at www.physiciansforyou.com, email info@physiciansforyou.com, or call 1 778 475-7995.

**NANAIMO—GP**
General practitioner required for locum or permanent positions. The Caledonian Clinic is located in Nanaimo on beautiful Vancouver Island. Well-established, very busy clinic with 26 general practitioners and two specialists. Two locations in Nanaimo; after-hours walk-in clinic in the evening and on weekends. Computerized medical records, lab, and pharmacy on site. Contact Lisa Wall at 250 390-5228 or email lisa.wall@caledonianclinic.ca. Visit our website at www.caledonianclinic.ca.

**NISGA’A VALLEY—FAMILY MEDICINE IN BEAUTIFUL NISGA’A VALLEY**
Family physicians needed to provide primary and urgent care for a population of 3500 in four communities across the traditional Nisga’a territory. A team of three physicians works together to provide full-scope services (excluding obstetrics) in concert with other services such as home care, public health, and mental wellness and addictions. The health and wellness centres are staffed with full-time RNs who take first call after hours. Soaring mountains, picturesque fjords, dramatic lava beds, natural hot springs, and thriving rivers offer outstanding recreation year-round. Excellent remuneration. Contact Jeremy Penner at md@nisgaahospital.bc.ca.

**NORTH VAN—FP LOCUM**
Busy, established physicians with stellar support staff seek part-time or full-time associates. Doctors currently needed to fill very busy telemedicine and in-office shifts. Oscar EMR with technical support. Part-time associates will be on a 70/30 split for weekend and evening shifts. Option of working from home. For further information contact Kim at 604 987-0918 or kimgrafl@hotmail.com.

**NORTH VANCOUVER—FP LOCUM**
Come practise on the North Shore in a busy, friendly clinic of three doctors. Excellent staff and remuneration without OB or hospital work. Looking for 3 months of locum cover per year, starting with September and October 2021. Please reply to clinic manager at clinicmanager 3353@gmail.com for more details.

**POWELL RIVER—LOCUM**
The Medical Clinic Associates is looking for short- and long-term locums. The medical community offers excellent specialist backup and has a well-equipped 33-bed hospital. This beautiful community offers outstanding outdoor recreation. For more information contact Laurie Fuller: 604 485-3927, email: clinic@tmca-pr.ca, website: powellrivermedicalclinic.ca.

**SOUTH SURREY/WHITE ROCK—FP**
Busy family/walk-in practice in South Surrey requires GP to build family practice. The community is growing rapidly and there is great need for family physicians. Close to beaches and recreational areas of Metro Vancouver. OSCAR EMR, nurses/MOAs on all shifts. CDM support available. Competitive split. Please contact Carol at Peninsulamedical@live.com or 604 916-2050.

**SURREY/Delta/ABBOTSFORD—GPS/SPECIALISTS**
Considering a change of practice style or location? Or selling your practice? Group of seven locations has opportunities for family, walk-in, or specialists. Full-time, part-time, or locum doctors guaranteed to be busy.
We provide administrative support. Paul Foster, 604 572-4558 or pfoster@denninghealth.ca.

VANCOUVER/RICHMOND—FP/SPECIALIST
We welcome all physicians, from new graduates to semi-retired, part-time or full-time. Virtual, walk-in, or full-service family medicine and all specialties. Excellent splits at the busy South Vancouver and Richmond Superstore medical clinics. Efficient and customizable OSCAR EMR. Well-organized clinics. Contact Winnie at medicalclinicbc@gmail.com.

VICTORIA—FP/WALK-IN
Well-established fee-for-service walk-in practice in the centre of James Bay, Victoria. Varied demographics and many long-term patients as we have been part of this community for 30 years. Looking to transfer ownership before retirement in April 2021; able to stay on longer for smooth transition. Office uses OSCAR EMR, has two exam rooms, and is equipped for minor procedures. Contact Dr Michael Greenwood at 250 388-9934 or jbcentre@telus.net.

MEDICAL OFFICE SPACE
SOUTH SURREY—MEDICAL OFFICE SPACE FOR LEASE
Custom-built, exceptionally clean medical office (947 sq. ft.) with high-grade millwork, cork flooring, and décor in a modern professional building. Spacious reception desk with granite countertop. Waiting area has decorative rock wall and is furnished with high-end seating. Waiting room and exam rooms with high-definition televisions for patients. Private bathroom and kitchen. Building has a large parking lot (free parking for patients). Ideal for the discerning specialist. Full-time MOA available. Located in Croydon Business Centre, a professional building, home to medical and dental offices. By Morgan Crossing Shopping Outlet, a European-style shopping outlet with many shopping and eating establishments. If interested, contact dmjones01@shaw.ca.

VANCOUVER—PREMIUM FURNISHED OFFICE SPACE

MISCELLANEOUS
CANADA—QUALITY, AFFORDABLE TRANSCRIPTION
Keystrox serves physicians, clinics, and assessment companies. Quick turnaround, secure and confidential. Dictate as you prefer, available 24 hours a day, 7 days per week. Direct upload to EHR and autofax. Five-star rating on Google. Local references available. Free trial. Phone 519 915-4897 or toll-free 888 494-2055. Email: contact@keystrox.com. Website: www.keystrox.com.

CANADA-WIDE—MED TRANSCRIPTION
Medical transcription specialists since 2002, Canada-wide. Excellent quality and turn-around. All specialties, family practice, and IME reports. Telephone or digital recorder. Fully confidential, PIPEDA compliant. Dictation tips at www.2ascribe.com/tips. Contact us at www.2ascribe.com, info@2ascribe.com, or toll free at 1 866 503-4003.

FREE MEDICAL RECORD STORAGE
Retiring, moving, or closing your family practice? RSR5 is Canada’s #1 and only physician-managed paper and EMR medical records storage company. Since 1997. No hidden costs. Call for your free practice closure package: everything you need to plan your practice closure. Phone 1 866 348-8308 (ext. 2), email info@rsrs.com, or visit www.RSRS.com.

PATIENT RECORD STORAGE—FREE
Retiring, moving, or closing your family or general practice, physician’s estate? DOCUdavit Medical Solutions provides free storage for your active paper or electronic patient records with no hidden costs, including a patient mailing and doctor’s web page. Contact Sid Soil at DOCUdavit Solutions today at 1 888 781-9083, ext. 105, or email ssoil@docudavit.com. We also provide great rates for closing specialists.

VANCOUVER—TAX & ACCOUNTING SERVICES
Rod McNeil, CPA, CGA: Tax, accounting, and business solutions for medical and health professionals (corporate and personal). Specializing in health professionals for the past 11 years, and the tax and financial issues facing them at various career and professional stages. The tax area is complex, and practitioners are often not aware of solutions available to them and which avenues to take. My goal is to help you navigate and keep more of what you earn by minimizing overall tax burdens where possible, while at the same time providing you with personalized service. Website: www.rwmcga.com, email: rodney@rwmcga.com, phone: 778 552-0229.

Doctors Helping Doctors
The Physician Health Program of British Columbia offers help 24/7 to B.C. doctors and their families for a wide range of personal and professional problems: physical, psychological and social. If something is on your mind, give us a call at 1-800-663-6729. Or for more information about our services, visit www.physicianhealth.com.

Call 1-800-663-6729 or visit www.physicianhealth.com
Club MD
PUT YOURSELF IN THE PICTURE.

Exclusive deals from brands you trust
You work hard. Your downtime is important and we want to help you make the most of it to do the things you love. Club MD provides exclusive deals from trusted brands so you can spend your time on what’s important.

HELLOFRESH
Get 9 free meals across 3 boxes.

Visit HelloFresh.ca online and insert promo code DOFBC9.

doctorsofbc.ca/hellofresh

DUECK GM
Preferred pricing on nearly all new Chevrolet, Buick, GMC, Cadillac vehicles and more.

Contact Carlos Pavez at 604 760 7290 or email carlos.pavez@dueckonmarine.com.

doctorsofbc.ca/dueckgm

SHERATON WALL CENTRE VANCOUVER
Rooms starting from $99/night with complimentary parking for stays until June 30, 2021.

Relax and enjoy your stay, knowing your health and safety is a priority.

Book online or call 1 800 663 9255, provide promo code JZN and mention you are a Doctors of BC member.

doctorsofbc.ca/sheratonwcv

doctorsofbc.ca/club-md

P 604 638 7921
TF 1 800 665 2262 ext 7921
E clubmd@doctorsofbc.ca
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The upper airway: Cross-disciplinary conversations

Thoughts on the upper airway gleaned from conversations with my colleagues across disciplines, considered from an evolutionary and public health point of view.

Mark Elliott, MD, FRCPC

Thinking about upper-airway problems, three themes emerge. First, many health care practitioners, including doctors, dentists, chiropractors, physiotherapists, and nutritionists, are involved in treating patients with upper-airway issues, but in very siloed ways. Second, humans have an inherent cognitive bias to treat rather than do nothing (called action fallacy in the psychology literature) once a disease is present. Third, surgery is becoming less invasive. That can mean not leaving patients with huge incisions on their bellies but rather four small laparoscopic holes sewn up with a stitch or two each. It can also mean not performing surgery at all, when the downsides of complications are fully written into the equation. The conservative approach that neurosurgeons now take toward doing a lumbar laminectomy is the poster child for this approach. The approach is to wait and see while using muscle strengthening of hip extensors and flexors to restore a more normal lumbar anatomical lordosis.

Anatomy of the upper airway

Anatomically the upper airway goes from a patient’s glabella to the thyroid cartilage (top of nose to bottom of voice box). It is the basic oxygen delivery structure. In humans, the upper airway includes dozens of bones, muscles, nerves, arteries, and veins. All of this anatomy, from the erectile tissue in the turbinates of the nose to the mitochondria in the cells of the arytenoid muscles, acts in an integrated way.

Nature versus nurture

The upper airway has to be thought of in evolutionary terms; however, nothing garners more emotion than an empiric study that says human trait A or B or C is 30% or 60% or 90% genetic. This is especially true for traits such as facial esthetics. The willpower versus biology arguments are generally a waste of time as nature and nurture are like the sides and ends of an ever-changing rectangle, too integrated to separate in any meaningful way. The phrase used in a recent evolutionary biology publication is “heredity interacting with experience filtered through the inherent randomness of development”; in other words, nurture acting on nature through time.

Nitric oxide

Nitric oxide (NO) is a biological signaling agent. A Nobel Prize was awarded in 1998 for the discovery of its cardiovascular dilating effect. Sildenafil uses these NO biochemical pathways for its effects. The initial enthusiasm about the nitric oxide pathway has fizzled somewhat. In my field of anesthesiology, it is only used in parts-per-million concentrations for open heart patients with bad pulmonary hypertension or right-ventricular dysfunction to alter ventilation perfusion (or V/Q) mismatch for better oxygenation (oral communication from Dr John Bowering, anesthesia, Providence Health Care) or in the ICU to buy some time with severe sepsis (oral communication from Dr Demitrios Sirounis, anesthesia, Providence Health Care). It is expensive, polluting, and exogenous. Much recent interest in nitric oxide is the endogenously produced NO from the sinuses.

Anesthesiologists

Outside the operating room, when an anesthesiologist is consulted for an upper-airway situation in the hospital, it continues to be for some extremis situation. What has changed dramatically is the technology used to intubate. Decades ago, with an old-fashion direct laryngoscope, it was not uncommon for the operator to not be able to visualize the larynx for intubation because they were basically trying to look around a corner with direct vision. This was especially so if the patient had a small mentohyoid distance (short chin); had decreased neck mobility, which meant inability to put the head in a sniffing position (flexion of the cervical spine and extension of the atlanto-occipital joint); or was obese, which increased the odds of not being able to bag mask ventilate optimally. There has been remarkable improvement in intubating equipment over the last few decades, which started with various bougies (thin bent tubes that are inserted somewhat blindly into the trachea and an endotracheal tube then threaded over them to achieve intubation), then moved on to fiberoptic endoscopes (introduced by our respirologist colleagues who did bronchosopies), and now video laryngoscopes (laryngoscopes with small video cameras at the end so seeing around the corner is easy). This technological development, along with “improved communication and situational awareness” that the CMPA stresses, should lead to safer airway management.
Otolaryngologists
Pediatric surgical practice has changed a lot since the Tonsil Hospital of the 1920s in New York City, which was built to do tonsillectomies on kids to prevent recurrent infections and the dreaded complication of rheumatic heart disease from strep throat. The famous Paradise Study of 1984 showed that while a tonsillectomy prevented recurrent bouts of tonsillitis, so did waiting. It is not uncommon for an ENT surgeon to be consulted about removing tonsils, especially if they are “kissing.” Surgery is reserved for the most severe cases with a well-established diagnosis of sleep apnea. Most kids do not have sleep apnea, and it doesn’t correlate well with tonsil size (oral communication from Dr Paul Moxham, otolaryngologist, BC Children’s Hospital).

Sinus surgery is becoming more and more of a subspecialty in otolaryngology. One reason for this is the remarkable development of sinus surgery endoscopic technology, as has also happened for the anesthesiologist. There is a growing recognition that sinus surgery has a downside, which has led to a much more conservative selection of patients for surgery (oral communication from Dr Andrew Thamboo, otolaryngologist, Providence Health Care). It is known that nitric oxide, which is produced in the paranasal sinuses, upregulates the ciliary action in the mucosa with effects on the microbiota of the upper airway.

Orthodontists
Traditional orthodontics says crooked teeth are inherited from parents and can be treated very well with braces. Orthodontics treatment gets excellent results if patients wear retainers for years after braces come off. Dr Mike Mew (the most-known orthodontist in the world as measured by YouTube subscribers) questions this from an evolutionary point of view (braces treat the symptom of crowded teeth, resulting in a nice smile, but the causal factor is weaker muscles/a smaller jaw). He says that in the last few hundred years technology has created softer, higher-calorie foods that we essentially breastfeed/suckle versus having to chew using muscles of mastication. His treatment (called orhtotropics) is basically to sit up straight and chew your food well (electronic communication from Dr Robert Sapolsky, professor of biology/neurosurgery, Stanford) along with specific swallowing exercises (the pharyngeal constrictor and longitudinal muscles are involuntary skeletal muscles, not smooth [oral communication from Dr Arman Abdalkhani, otolaryngologist, UBC]), and taping the mouth shut while sleeping. Some heavy hitters from Stanford in academic biology have chime in to support this, claiming that these concepts warrant a thorough scientific attempt to falsify.

Oral surgeons
Oral surgeons in our health care system take out molar teeth. Also included in their practice are the LeFort mandibular and maxillary osteotomies for orthodontic reasons. After working for many years with a very skilled maxillofacial surgeon, I find myself questioning the risk-reward ratio of these procedures, especially considering that less than 100 years ago no one did these types of procedures and there is no historical record of a molar health care crisis.

Respirologists
The respirologist is consulted more and more for queries on obstructive sleep apnea. This malady is becoming a public health issue as its incidence goes up. The list of diseases associated with sleeping disorders is also getting longer. A large percentage of these patients are overweight, and it is up to the respirologist, who more often than not orders a sleep study, to figure out if the mechanism of the sleep apnea is obstructive or central. A CPAP device is usually recommended and very often helpful but compliance with the apparatus is not great. The people in pulmonary medicine usually say that if you are not breathing well when you are asleep then you are not healthy (oral communication from Dr Pierce Wilcox and Dr Najib Ayas, respirologists, UBC).

Family practitioners
Breathing exercises are big these days. Techniques date back to ancient yogis (pranayama), are numerous, and are commonly tied to meditative practice. Family practitioners see a lot of this attached to stress management. The underlying evolutionary principle is that all animals (except humans and maybe dogs) breathe through the nose rather than the mouth, which has the advantages of filtering pollutants in the air, humidifying the air, and increased sinus nitric oxide production. Breathing/meditative techniques stress the diaphragmatic rather than chest wall muscles for gas exchange in the lungs. There is undoubtedly a modicum of truth to the idea that breathing less (just like eating less) will increase parasympathetic tone over the excessive sympathetic tone present in stressed patients.

The future
How will the shift to less-invasive treatment of the airway play out in 100 years? It’s possible to imagine that we will look back at today’s treatment of the upper airway like we now look back on bloodletting, the rack, or even leeches.
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